arXiv:1506.02847v2 [math.NT] 6 Aug 2015

COMPUTATION OF $\lambda_{K/F}$-FUNCTION, WHERE K/F IS A FINITE GALOIS EXTENSION

SAZZAD ALI BISWAS

Abstract. By Langlands [19], and Deligne [16] we know that local constants are weakly extendible functions. Therefore to give explicit formula of local constant of an induced representation of a local Galois group of a non-archimedean local field F, we have to compute lambda function $\lambda_{K/F}$ for a finite extension K/F. In this article, when a finite extension K/F is Galois, we give explicit formula for $\lambda_{K/F}$, except $K/F(F\neq\mathbb{Q}_2)$ is wildly ramified quadratic extension.

1. **Introduction**

Let K/F be a finite Galois extension of a non-archimedean local field $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $G=\mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$. The lambda function for the extension K/F is $\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F):=W(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}1,\psi_F)$, where W denotes the local constant (cf. [12]) and ψ_F is the canonical additive character of F. We also can define λ-function via Deligne's constant $c(\rho):=\frac{W(\rho)}{W(\det(\rho))}$, where ρ is a representation of G and

$$\lambda_1^G=W(\rho)=c(\rho)\cdot W(\det(\rho))=c(\rho)\cdot W(\Delta_1^G),$$

where $\rho=\mathrm{Ind}_1^{\mathrm{G}}(1)$ and $\Delta_1^G:=\det(\mathrm{Ind}_1^{\mathrm{G}}(1))$.

Firstly, in Section 3, we compute the λ-function for odd degree Galois extension by using some properties of λ-functions and the following lemmas (cf. Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.4)

Lemma 1.1. *Let L/F be a finite Galois extension with $H=\mathrm{Gal(L/K)}$ and $G=\mathrm{Gal(L/F)}$. If $H\leqslant G$ is normal subgroup and if $[G:H]$ is odd, then $\Delta_{K/F}\equiv 1$ and $\lambda_{K/F}^2=1$.*

Lemma 1.2. *(1) If $H\leqslant G$ is normal subgroup of odd index $[G:H]$, then $\lambda_H^G=1$.*

(2) If there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that $N\leqslant H\leqslant G$ and $[G:N]$ odd, then $\lambda_H^G=1$.

we obtain the following result (cf. Theorem 3.5)

Theorem 1.3. *Let E/F be an odd degree Galois extension of a non-archimedean local field F. If $L\supset K\supset F$ be any finite extension inside E, then $\lambda_{L/K}=1$.*

And in Section 4, we compute λ_1^G where G is a local Galois group for a finite Galois extension. By using Bruno Kahn's results (cf. [2], Theorem 1) and Theorem 2.5 (due to Deligne) we obtain the following result (cf. Theorem 4.10).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11S37; 22E50

Keywords: Local fields, Local constant, Classical Gauss sums, Lambda functions.

The author is partially supported by IMU-Berlin Einstein Foundation, Berlin, Germany and CSIR, Delhi, India .

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finite local Galois group of a non-archimedean local field F. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Denote $c_1^G = c(\mathrm{Ind}_1^G(1))$.*

1. *If $S = \{1\}$, we have $\lambda_1^G = 1$.*
2. *If the Sylow 2-subgroup $S \subset G$ is nontrivial cyclic (**exceptional case**), then*
$$\lambda_1^G = \begin{cases} W(\alpha) & \text{if } |S| = 2^n \geqslant 8 \\ c_1^G \cdot W(\alpha) & \text{if } |S| \leqslant 4, \end{cases}$$
where α is a uniquely determined quadratic character of G.
3. *If S is metacyclic but not cyclic (**invariant case**), then*
$$\lambda_1^G = \begin{cases} \lambda_1^V & \text{if } G \text{ contains Klein's 4 group } V \\ 1 & \text{if } G \text{ does not contain Klein's 4 group } V. \end{cases}$$
4. *If S is nontrivial and not metacyclic, then $\lambda_1^G = 1$.*

From the above theorem we observe that $\lambda_1^G = 1$, except the **exceptional case** and the **invariant case** with G contains Klein's 4-group. Moreover here α is the uniquely determined quadratic character of G, then $W(\alpha) = \lambda_{F_2/F}$, where F_2/F is the quadratic extension corresponding to α of $G = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$. In fact, in the invariant case we need to compute λ_1^V where V is Klein's 4-group. If $p \neq 2$ then V corresponds to a tame extension and there we have the explicit computation of λ_1^V in Lemma 4.9.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a local field with $p \neq 2$. Let K/F be the uniquely determined extension with $V = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$, Klein's 4-group. Then*
$\lambda_1^V = \lambda_{K/F} = -1$ if $-1 \in F^\times$ is a square, i.e., $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and
$\lambda_1^V = \lambda_{K/F} = 1$ if $-1 \in F^\times$ is not a square, i.e., if $q_F \equiv 3 \pmod 4$,
where q_F is the cardinality of the residue field of F.

In [17], Deligne computes the Deligne's constant $c(\rho)$, where ρ is a finite dimensional orthogonal representation of the absolute Galois group G_F of a non-archimedean local field F via second Stiefel-Whitney class of ρ. The computations of these Deligne's constants are important to give explicit formulas of lambda functions. But the second Stiefel-Whitney classes $s_2(\rho)$ are not the same as Deligne's constants, therefore full information of $s_2(\rho)$ will not give complete information about Deligne's constants. Therefore to complete the explicit computations of λ_1^G we need to use the definition

$$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_{K/F}(\psi) = W(\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}}1, \psi),$$

where ψ is a nontrivial additive character of F. When we take the canonical additive character ψ_F, we simply write $\lambda_{K/F} = W(\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}}1, \mathrm{\psi_F})$, instead of $\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F)$.

When $p \neq 2$, in Theorem 4.10, we notice that to complete the whole computation we need to compute $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a quadratic tame extension. In Section 5, we study the explicit computation for even degree local Galois extension.

Moreover by using the properties of λ-function and Lemma 5.2 we give general formula of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is an even degree **unramified extension** and the result is (cf. Theorem 5.4):

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F) = (-1)^{n(\psi_F)}.$$

When K/F is an even degree Galois extension with odd ramification index we have the following result (cf. Theorem 5.6).

Theorem 1.6. *Let K be an even degree Galois extension of F with odd ramification index. Let ψ be a nontrivial additive character of F. Then*

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi) = (-1)^{n(\psi)}.$$

When K/F is a tamely ramified quadratic extension, by using classical Gauss sum we have an explicit formula (cf. Theorem 5.9) for $\lambda_{K/F}$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let K be a tamely ramified quadratic extension of $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ with $q_F = p^s$. Let ψ_F be the canonical additive character of F. Let $c \in F^\times$ with $-1 = \nu_F(c) + d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and $c' = \frac{c}{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}}$, where $F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the maximal unramified extension in $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let ψ_{-1} be an additive character with conductor -1, of the form $\psi_{-1} = c' \cdot \psi_F$. Then*

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F) = \Delta_{K/F}(c') \cdot \lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}),$$

where

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{s-1} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ (-1)^{s-1} i^s & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

If we take $c = \pi_F^{-1-d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}$, where π_F is a norm for K/F, then

$$\Delta_{K/F}(c') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}} \in k_{F_0}^\times = k_F^\times \text{ is a square}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \overline{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}} \in k_{F_0}^\times = k_F^\times \text{ is not a square}. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here "overline" stands for modulo P_{F_0}.

By the properties of λ-function and the above theorem, we can give complete computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a tamely ramified even degree Galois extension. But the computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a wildly ramified quadratic extension, seems subtle. In Subsection 5.2, we give few computation in the wild case, and they are:

Lemma 1.8. *Let K be the finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$ for which $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(K^\times) = \mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}$. Then $\lambda_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2} = 1$.*

More generally, we show (cf. Theorem 5.12) that when $F/\mathbb{Q}_2$, and K is the abelian extension of F for which $N_{K/F}(K^\times) = F^{\times 2}$, then $\lambda_{K/F} = 1$. In Example 5.13 we compute $\lambda_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}$, where F is a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

Let F be a non-archimedean local field, i.e., a finite extension of the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (field of p-adic numbers), where p is a prime. Let K/F be a finite extension of the field F. Let $e_{K/F}$ be the ramification index for the extension K/F and $f_{K/F}$ be the residue degree of the extension K/F. The extension K/F is called **unramified** if $e_{K/F} = 1$; equivalently $f_{K/F} = [K : F]$. The extension K/F is **totally ramified** if $e_{K/F} = [K : F]$; equivalently $f_{K/F} = 1$. Let q_F

be the cardinality of the residue field k_F of F. If $\gcd(p,[K:F])=1$, then the extension K/F is called **tamely ramified**, otherwise **wildly ramified**. The extension K/F is **totally tamely ramified** if it is both totally ramified and tamely ramified.

For a tower of **local** fields $K/L/F$, we have (cf. [8], p. 39, Lemma 2.1)

$$e_{K/F}(\nu_K)=e_{K/L}(\nu_K)\cdot e_{L/F}(\nu_L), \tag{2.1}$$

where ν_K is a valuation on K and ν_L is the induced valuation on L, i.e., $\nu_L=\nu_K|_L$. For the tower of fields $K/L/F$ we simply write $e_{K/F}=e_{K/L}\cdot e_{L/F}$. Let O_F be the ring of integers in the local field F and $P_F=\pi_F O_F$ is the unique prime ideal in O_F and π_F is a uniformizer, i.e., an element in P_F whose valuation is one, i.e., $\nu_F(\pi_F)=1$. Let $U_F=O_F-P_F$ be the group of units in O_F. Let $P_F^i=\{x\in F:\nu_F(x)\geqslant i\}$ and for $i\geqslant 0$ define $U_F^i=1+P_F^i$ (with proviso $U_F^0=U_F=O_F^\times$). We also consider that $a(\chi)$ is the conductor of nontrivial character $\chi:F^\times\to\mathbb{C}^\times$, i.e., $a(\chi)$ is the smallest integer $m\geqslant 0$ such that χ is trivial on U_F^m. We say χ is unramified if the conductor of χ is zero and otherwise ramified. Throughout the paper when K/F is unramified we choose uniformizers $\pi_K=\pi_F$. And when K/F is totally ramified (both tame and wild) we choose uniformizers $\pi_F=N_{K/F}(\pi_K)$, where $N_{K/F}$ is the norm map from $K^\times$ to $F^\times$. In this article $\Delta_{K/F}$ denotes for $\det(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}(1))$.

Definition 2.1 (**Different and Discriminant**)**.** Let K/F be a finite separable extension of non-archimedean local field F. We define the **inverse different (or codifferent)** $\mathcal{D}_{K/F}^{-1}$ of K over F to be $\pi_K^{-d_{K/F}}O_K$, where $d_{K/F}$ is the largest integer (this is the exponent of the different $\mathcal{D}_{K/F}$) such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}(\pi_K^{-d_{K/F}}O_K)\subseteq O_F,$$

where $\mathrm{Tr_{K/F}}$ is the trace map from K to F. Then the **different** is defined by:

$$\mathcal{D}_{K/F}=\pi_K^{d_{K/F}}O_K$$

and the **discriminant** $D_{K/F}$ is

$$D_{K/F}=N_{K/F}(\pi_K^{d_{K/F}})O_F.$$

Thus it is easy to see that $D_{K/F}$ is an **ideal of** O_F.

We know that if K/F is unramified, then $D_{K/F}$ is **a unit in** O_F (in fact its converse is also true). If K/F is tamely ramified, then

$$\nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F})=d_{K/F}=e_{K/F}-1. \tag{2.2}$$

(see [10], Chapter III, for details about different and discriminant of the extension K/F.) We need to mention a very important result of J-P. Serre for this article.

Lemma 2.2 ([10], p. 50, Proposition 7)**.** *Let K/F be a finite separable extension of the field F. Let I_F (resp. I_K) be a fractional ideal of F (resp. K) relative to O_F (resp. O_K). Then the following two properties are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}(I_K)\subset I_F$.
(2) $I_K\subset I_F.\mathcal{D}_{K/F}^{-1}$.

Definition 2.3 (**Canonical additive character**)**.** We define the non trivial additive character of F, $\psi_F:F\to\mathbb{C}^\times$ as the composition of the following four maps:

$$F \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}} \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

where

1. $\mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is the trace from F to $\mathbb{Q}_p$,
2. α is the canonical surjection map,
3. β is the canonical injection which maps $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ onto the p-component of the divisible group $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and
4. γ is the exponential map $x \mapsto e^{2\pi i x}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

For every $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, there is a rational r, uniquely determined modulo 1, such that $x - r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(x) = \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(r) = e^{2\pi i r}$. The nontrivial additive character $\psi_F = \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \circ \mathrm{Tr_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ of F is called the **canonical additive character** (cf. [12], p. 92).

The **conductor** of any nontrivial additive character ψ of the field F is an integer $n(\psi)$ if ψ is trivial on $P_F^{-n(\psi)}$, but nontrivial on $P_F^{-n(\psi)-1}$. So, from Lemma 2.2 we can show (cf. Lemma 5.2) that

$$n(\psi_F) = n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \circ \mathrm{Tr_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}) = \nu_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}),$$

because $d_{\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p} = 0$, and hence $n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = 0$.

2.1. **Local constants.** To every continuous complex representation of a finite local Galois group we can associate local constant W by Langlands (cf. [19]) and Deligne (cf. [16]). In general, for any arbitrary representation of dimension greater than one of a local Galois group, there is no explicit computing formula for local constant. But for 1-dimension character we have explicit formula for local constant (see [13], pp. 12-14) and which is:
For a nontrivial multiplicative character χ of $F^\times$ and nontrivial additive character ψ of F, we have

$$W(\chi,\psi,c) = \chi(c)\frac{\int_{U_F}\chi^{-1}(x)\psi(x/c)dx}{|\int_{U_F}\chi^{-1}(x)\psi(x/c)dx|}, \tag{2.3}$$

where the Haar measure dx is normalized such that the measure of O_F is 1 and $c \in F^\times$ with valuation $n(\psi) + a(\chi)$. The **modified** formula of epsilon factor (cf. [12], p. 94) is:

$$W(\chi,\psi,c) = \chi(c)q^{-a(\chi)/2}\sum_{x\in\frac{U_F}{U_F^{a(\chi)}}}\chi^{-1}(x)\psi(x/c), \tag{2.4}$$

where $c = \pi_F^{a(\chi)+n(\psi)}$. Now if $u \in U_F$ is unit and replace $c = cu$, then we would have

$$W(\chi,\psi,cu) = \chi(c)q^{-\frac{a(\chi)}{2}}\sum_{x\in\frac{U_F}{U_F^{a(\chi)}}}\chi^{-1}(x/u)\psi(x/cu) = W(\chi,\psi,c). \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore $W(\chi,\psi,c)$ depends only on the exponent $\nu_F(c) = a(\chi) + n(\psi)$. Thus we can write $W(\chi,\psi,c) = W(\chi,\psi)$, because c is determined by $\nu_F(c) = a(\chi) + n(\psi)$ up to a unit u which has **no influence on** $W(\chi,\psi,c)$. If χ is unramified, i.e., $a(\chi) = 0$, therefore $\nu_F(c) = n(\psi)$. Then from the formula of $W(\chi,\psi,c)$, we can write

$$W(\chi,\psi,c) = \chi(c), \tag{2.6}$$

and therefore $W(1,\psi,c) = 1$ if $\chi = 1$ is the trivial character.

We know that this local constant satisfies the following functional equation (cf. [13]):

$$W(\chi,\psi)\cdot W(\chi^{-1},\psi)=1.$$

This functional equation extends to

$$W(\rho,\psi)\cdot W(\rho^{V},\psi)=\det{}_{\rho}(-1), \tag{2.7}$$

where ρ is any virtual representation of the Weil group W_F, ρ^V is the contragredient and ψ is any nontrivial additive character of F.

2.2. **Deligne's Constants.** Let K/F be a finite Galois extension of a local field F of characteristic zero. Let $G=\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$, and let $\rho: G\to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ be a representation. Then for this representation, Deligne (cf. [12], p. 119) defines:

$$c(\rho):=\frac{W(\rho,\psi)}{W(\det(\rho),\psi)}, \tag{2.8}$$

where ψ is some additive character of F. If we change the additive character ψ to $\psi'=b\psi$, where $b\in F^{\times}$, then from [3], p. 190, part (2) of the Proposition, we see:

$$W(\rho,b\psi)=\epsilon(\rho,\frac{1}{2},b\psi)=\det{}_{\rho}(b)\cdot\epsilon(\rho,\frac{1}{2},\psi)=\det{}_{\rho}(b)W(\rho,\psi). \tag{2.9}$$

Also, from the property of abelian local constants we have $W(\det(\rho),b\psi)=\det_{\rho}(b)\cdot W(\det_{\rho},\psi)$, hence

$$\frac{W(\rho,\ b\psi)}{W(\det_{\rho},\ b\psi)}=\frac{W(\rho,\ \psi)}{W(\det_{\rho},\ \psi)}=c(\rho).$$

This shows that the Deligne's constant $c(\rho)$ does not depend on the choice of the additive character ψ. We also have the following properties of Deligne's constants:

Proposition 2.4 ([12], p. 119, Proposition 2)**.** *(i) If* $\dim(\rho)=1$, *then* $c(\rho)=1$.

(ii)

$$c(\rho_1+\rho_2)=c(\rho_1)c(\rho_2)W(\det(\rho_1))W(\det(\rho_2))\cdot W(\det(\rho_1)\cdot\det(\rho_2))^{-1}. \tag{2.10}$$

(iii) $c(\rho+\overline{\rho})=\det(\rho)(-1)$.

(iv) $c(\overline{\rho})=\overline{c(\rho)}$, *and* $|c(\rho)|=1$.

(v) Suppose $\rho=\overline{\rho}$. *Then* $c(\rho)=\pm 1$.

In the following theorem due to Deligne for an orthogonal representation $\rho: G\to O(n)$, we know a procedure how to obtain out of the second Stiefel-Whitney class $s_2(\rho)$ the constant $c(\rho)$.

Theorem 2.5 (Deligne, [12], p. 129, Theorem 3)**.** *Let ρ be an **orthogonal representation** of the finite group G and let $s_2(\rho)\in H^2(G,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ be the second Stiefel-Whitney class of ρ. Let K/F be a finite Galois extension of the non-archimedean local field F. The Galois group $G=\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ is a quotient group of the full Galois group $G_F=\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ which induces an inflation map*

$$\mathrm{Inf}: H^2(G,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\to H^2(G_F,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\cong\{\pm 1\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Then

$$c(\rho) = cl(s_2(\rho)) \in \{\pm 1\} \tag{2.12}$$

is the image of the second Stiefel-Whitney class $s_2(\rho)$ under the inflation map (2.11). In particular, we have $c(\rho) = 1$ if $s_2(\rho) = 0 \in H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

2.3. Classical Gauss sums.

Let k_q be a finite field. Let p be the characteristic of k_q; then the prime field contained in k_q is k_p. The structure of the **canonical** additive character ψ_q of k_q is the same as the structure of the canonical character ψ_F, namely **it comes by trace** from the canonical character of the base field, i.e.,

$$\psi_q = \psi_p \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{k_q/k_p}},$$

where

$$\psi_p(x) := e^{\frac{2\pi i x}{p}} \qquad \text{for all } x \in k_p.$$

Gauss sums: Let χ, ψ be a multiplicative and an additive character respectively of k_q. Then the Gauss sum $G(\chi,\psi)$ is defined by

$$G(\chi,\psi) = \sum_{x \in k_q^\times} \chi(x)\psi(x). \tag{2.13}$$

In general, computation of this Gauss sum is very difficult, but for certain characters, the associated Gauss sums can be evaluated explicitly. In the following theorem for quadratic characters of k_q, we can give explicit formula of Gauss sums.

Theorem 2.6 ([18], p. 199, Theorem 5.15)**.** *Let k_q be a finite field with $q = p^s$, where p is an odd prime and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let χ be the quadratic character of k_q and let ψ be the canonical additive character of k_q. Then*

$$G(\chi,\psi) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{s-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ (-1)^{s-1} i^s q^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

For computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a tamely ramified quadratic extension, we will use the above Theorem 2.6.

2.4. Witt ring and square class group of a local field.

Let F be a field. Let M be any commutative cancellation monoid under addition. We define a relation $\sim$ on $M \times M$ by

$$(x,y) \sim (x',y') \iff x + y' = x' + y \in M.$$

The cancellation law in M implies that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $M \times M$. We define the **Grothendieck group** of M to be Groth(M) = (M × M)/ $\sim$ (the set of equivalence classes) with addition induced by

$$(x,y) + (x',y') = (x + x', y + y').$$

We can prove that Groth(M) is the additive group generated by M.

Now let $M(F)$ be the set of all isometry classes of (nonsingular) quadratic forms of F, and replace M by $M(F)$ in the definition of Grothendieck group. Then we denote $\widehat{W}(F) =$ Groth(M(F)) which is called the **Witt-Grothendieck** ring of quadratic forms over the field

F. Every element of $\widehat{W}(F)$ has the formal expression $q_1 - q_2$, where q_1, q_2 are nonsingular quadratic forms, or rather, isometry classes of such forms.

Now, consider the dimension map $\mathrm{dim} : \mathrm{M(F)} \to \mathbb{Z}$, which is a semiring homomorphism on $M(F)$. This extends uniquely (via the universal property) to a ring homomorphism $\mathrm{dim} : \widehat{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{F}) \to \mathbb{Z}$, by

$$\mathrm{dim(q_1 - q_2) = dim(q_1) - dim(q_2)}.$$

The kernel of this ring homomorphism, denoted by $\widehat{I}F$, is called the **the fundamental ideal of** $\widehat{W}(F)$. We have $\widehat{W}(F)/\widehat{I}F = \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathbb{H}$ be the set which consists of all hyperabolic spaces and their additive inverses, and they form an ideal of $\widehat{W}(F)$. The vector ring

$$W(F) = \widehat{W}(F)/\mathbb{Z}\cdot\mathbb{H}$$

is called the **Witt ring** of F. The image of the ideal $\widehat{I}F$ under the natural projection $\widehat{W}(F) \to W(F)$ is denoted by IF; this is called the **fundamental ideal** of $W(F)$. It can be shown that $W(F)/IF \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [21], p. 30, Corollary 1.6).

The group $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ is called the **square class group** of F, and $Q(F) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ is called the **extended** square class group of F. We also have $W(F)/I^2F \cong Q(F)$ (cf. [21], p. 31, Proposition 2.1), where I^2F denotes the square of IF. By **Pfister's result** (cf. [21], p. 32, Corollary 2.3), we have the square class group $F^\times/F^{\times 2} \cong IF/I^2F$.

Now we come to our local field case. Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a local field. When $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a local field with $p \neq 2$, from Theorem 2.2(1) of [21] we have $F^\times/F^{\times 2} \cong V$, where V is Klein's 4-group. More generally, we need the following results for this article.

Theorem 2.7 ([21], p. 162, Theorem 2.22). *Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a local field with q_F as the cardinality of the residue field of F. Let $s = \nu_F(2)$. Then $|F^\times/F^{\times 2}| = 4 \cdot q_F^s$.*
In particular, if $p \neq 2$, i.e., $s = \nu_F(2) = 0$, we have $|F^\times/F^{\times 2}| = 4$.

When $p = 2$ and $F/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a local field of degree n over $\mathbb{Q}_2$, we have $s = \nu_F(2) = e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}$ because 2 is a uniformizer in $\mathbb{Q}_2$. We also know that $q_F = q_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^{f_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}} = 2^{f_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}}$. We also have $e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2} \cdot f_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2} = n$. Then from the above Theorem 2.7 we obtain

$$|F^\times/F^{\times 2}| = 4 \cdot q_F^{e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}} = 4 \cdot (2^{f_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}})^{e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}} = 4 \cdot 2^n = 2^{2+n}. \tag{2.15}$$

Theorem 2.8 ([21], p. 165, Theorem 2.29). *Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_2$ be a dyadic local field, with $|F^\times/F^{\times 2}| = 2^m$ ($m \geqslant 3$). Then:*

1. *Case 1: When $-1 \in F^{\times 2}$, we have $W(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{m+2}$ (here $\mathbb{Z}_n^k$ denotes the direct product of k copies of $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$).*
2. *Case 2: When $-1 \notin F^{\times 2}$, but -1 is a sum of two squares, we have $W(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{m-2}$.*
3. *Case 3: When -1 is not a sum of two squares, we have $W(F) = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{m-1}$.*

3. **When K/F is an odd degree Galois extension**

Let K/F be a finite Galois extension of the field F. Let $\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}(1)$ be the induced representation of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ from the trivial representation 1 of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/K)$. Then we know that the **lambda function** for the extension K/F is:

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F) = W(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}(1), \psi_F). \tag{3.1}$$

We can also form $\lambda_{K/F}(\psi)$ for all nontrivial additive character ψ.

Moreover if we take a tower of finite field extensions $E/K/F$ and any nontrivial character ψ of F, from the definition of λ-function, we will have:

$$\lambda_{E/F}(\psi) = \lambda_{E/K}(\psi \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}) \cdot \lambda_{K/F}(\psi)^{[E:K]}. \tag{3.2}$$

If we take the canonical additive character ψ_F in the above equation then we simply write

$$\lambda_{E/F} = \lambda_{E/K} \cdot \lambda_{K/F}^{[E:K]}. \tag{3.3}$$

It is well known (cf. [3], Corollary 30.4 on p. 194) that this $\lambda_{K/F}$ is always a **fourth** root of unity. We also know that for $s \in G$

$$\det(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \chi_H)(s) = \varepsilon_{G/H}(s) \cdot (\chi_H \circ T_{G/H}(s)),$$

where $T_{G/H}$ is the **transfer** map from $G/[G,G]$ to $H/[H,H]$ and $\varepsilon_{G/H}(s)$ is the **sign** of s understood as permutation of finite set $G/H : \{gH \mapsto sgH\}$. Now if we take $\chi_H = 1_H$, the trivial character of H, then in particular we see that

$$\Delta_H^G(s) := \det(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H)(s) = \varepsilon_{G/H}(s)$$

is a character of G of order 2. If $H \subset G$ is a normal subgroup, then it is a character of the factor group G/H and therefore it is **trivial** if G/H is of odd order. More generally, we have the following theorem due to Gallagher.

Theorem 3.1 ([3], p. 188)**.** *If ρ is a (virtual) representation of H, then*

$$\det(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \rho)(s) = \Delta_H^G(s)^{\dim(\rho)} \cdot (\det\rho \circ T_{G/H}(s)), \tag{3.4}$$

for $s \in G$.

We assume now that the Galois groups $H \subset G$ have the fields $K \supset F$ as their base fields. Then by class field theory we may interpret $\det(\rho)$ of equation (3.4) as a character of $K^\times$ and $\det(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \rho)$ as a character of $F^\times$, and then the equation (3.4) turns into an equality of two characters of $F^\times$:

$$\det(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \rho) = \Delta_{K/F}^{\dim(\rho)} \cdot \det\rho|_{F^\times}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_{K/F} : F^\times \to \{\pm 1\} \tag{3.5}$$

is the discriminant character[1] with respect to the extension K/F. If we consider $Z \subset H \subset G$ corresponding to the base fields $E \supset K \supset F$ then we have

$$\Delta_{E/F} = \det(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\mathrm{Ind}_Z^H 1_Z),$$

---

[1] From **example (III) on p. 104 of** [12], if $H = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) < G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/F)$ corresponds to an extension $F \subset K \subset L$ of local fields then

$$\det \circ \mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H = \Delta_{K/F}$$

can be interpreted by class-field theory as a character of $F^\times$. It is then character of $F^\times$ corresponding to the quadratic extension $F(\sqrt{d_{K/F}})/F$, which is obtained by adjoining the square root of the discriminant $d_{K/F}$ of K/F.

and with $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_Z^H 1_Z$ we conclude from (3.5) that

$$\Delta_{E/F} = \Delta_{E/K}|_{F^\times} \cdot \Delta_{K/F}^{[E:K]}. \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, in terms of Deligne's constant, we can write:

$$\lambda_H^G := W(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H) = c(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H) \cdot W(\det \circ \mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H). \tag{3.7}$$

Replacing Galois groups by the corresponding local fields we may write the lambda function of the finite extension K/F as

$$\lambda_{K/F} = c(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F} 1) \cdot W(\Delta_{K/F}), \tag{3.8}$$

where $c(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F} 1)$ is Deligne's sign, and $\Delta_{K/F}$ is a quadratic character of $F^\times$ related to the discriminant.

From the following lemma we can see that λ-function can change by a sign if we change the additive character.

Lemma 3.2. *The λ-function can change by sign if we change the additive character.*

Proof. Let K/F be a finite separable extension of the field F and ψ be a nontrivial additive character of F. We know that the local constant $W(\rho, \psi)$ is well defined for all pairs consisting of a virtual representation ρ of the Weil group W_F and a nontrivial additive character ψ of F. If we change the additive character ψ to $b\psi$, where $b \in F^\times$ is a unique element for which $b\psi(x) := \psi(bx)$ for all $x \in F$, then from equation (2.9), we have

$$W(\rho, b\psi) = \det_\rho(b) \cdot W(\rho, \psi). \tag{3.9}$$

In the definition of λ-function $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_{K/F} 1$, therefore by using equation (3.9), we have

$$\lambda_{K/F}(b\psi) = W(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}1, b\psi) = \Delta_{K/F}(b) W(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}1, \psi) = \Delta_{K/F}(b)\lambda_{K/F}(\psi), \tag{3.10}$$

where $\Delta_{K/F} = \det(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}(1))$ is a quadratic character (a sign function), i.e., $\Delta_{K/F}(b) \in \{\pm 1\}$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let L/F be a finite Galois extension of a non-archimedean local field F and $G = \mathrm{Gal(L/F)}$, $H = \mathrm{Gal(L/K)}$. If $H \leqslant G$ is normal subgroup and if $[G : H]$ is odd, then $\Delta_{K/F} \equiv 1$ and $\lambda_{K/F}^2 = 1$.*

Proof. If H is a normal subgroup, then $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H = \mathrm{Ind}_{\{1\}}^{G/H} 1$ is the regular representation of G/H, hence $\det \circ \mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H = \Delta_{K/F}$ is the quadratic character of the group G/H. By the given condition order of G/H is odd, then $\Delta_{K/F} \equiv 1$, hence $\lambda_{K/F}^2 = \Delta_{K/F}(-1)$. Thus $\lambda_{K/F}^2 = 1$. □

Note: Since $\Delta_{K/F} \equiv 1$, then $W(\Delta_{K/F}) = 1$. We also know that $c(\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}}(1)) \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then from equation (3.8) we can easily see that $\lambda_{K/F}^2 = 1$.

In the next lemma we state some important results for our next Theorem 3.5. These are the consequences of Deligne's formula for the local constant of orthogonal representations.

Lemma 3.4. *(1) If $H \leqslant G$ is normal subgroup of odd index $[G : H]$, then $\lambda_H^G = 1$.*

(2) If there exists a normal subgroup N of G such that $N \leqslant H \leqslant G$ and $[G : N]$ odd, then $\lambda_H^G = 1$.

Proof. (1) To prove (1) we use the equation (3.7)

$$\lambda_H^G = W(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H) = c(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H) \cdot W(\det \circ \mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H). \tag{3.11}$$

Since $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H$ is orthogonal we may compute $c(\rho)$ by using the second Stiefel-Whitney class $s_2(\rho)$ [2]. From Proposition 2.4(v) we know that $c(\rho) = W(\rho)/W(det_\rho)$ is a sign. If $cl(s_2(\rho))$ is the image of $s_2(\rho)$ under inflation map (which is injective), then according to Deligne's theorem 2.5, we have:

$$c(\rho) = cl(s_2(\rho))$$

if ρ is orthogonal. Moreover we have

$$s_2(\mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H) \in H^2(G/H, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = \{1\},$$

which implies that in equation (3.11) both factors are $= 1$, hence $\lambda_H^G = 1$.

(2) From $N \leqslant H \leqslant G$ we obtain

$$\lambda_N^G = \lambda_N^H \cdot (\lambda_H^G)^{[H:N]} \tag{3.12}$$

From (1) we obtain $\lambda_N^G = \lambda_N^H = 1$ because N is normal and the index $[G : N]$ is odd, hence $(\lambda_H^G)^{[H:N]} = 1$. Finally this implies $\lambda_H^G = 1$ because λ_H^G is 4th root of unity and $[H : N]$ is odd.

□

Note: In the other words we can state this above Lemma 3.4 as follows:
Let $H' = \cap_{x\in\Delta} xHx^{-1} \subset \Delta$ be the largest subgroup of H which is normal in $\Delta \subseteq G$. Then $\lambda_H^\Delta(W) = 1$ if the index $[\Delta : H']$ is odd, in particular if H itself is normal subgroup of Δ of odd index.

Now we are in a position to state the main theorem for odd degree Galois extension of a non-archimedean local field.

Theorem 3.5. *Let E/F be an odd degree Galois extension of a non-archimedean local field F. If $L \supset K \supset F$ be any finite extension inside E, then $\lambda_{L/K} = 1$.*

Proof. By the given condition $|\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)|$ is odd. Then the degree of extension $[E : F]$ of E over F is odd. Let L be any arbitrary intermediate field of E/F which contains K/F. Therefore, here we have the tower of fields $E \supset L \supset K \supset F$. Here the degree of extensions are all odd since $[E : F]$ is odd. By assumption E/F is Galois, then also the extension E/L and E/K are Galois and $H = \mathrm{Gal}(E/L)$ is subgroup of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(E/K)$.

By the definition we have $\lambda_{L/K} = \lambda_H^G$. If H is a normal subgroup of G then $\lambda_H^G = 1$ because $|G/H|$ is odd. But H need not be normal subgroup of G therefore L/K need not be Galois extension. Let N be the **largest** normal subgroup of G contained in H and N can be written as:

$$N = \cap_{g\in G} gHg^{-1}$$

---

[2] This Stiefel-Whitney class $s_2(\rho)$ is easy accessible only if $\det_\rho \equiv 1$, and this is in general wrong for $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H$. But it is true for $\rho = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G 1_H$ if $H \leqslant G$ is normal subgroup and $[G : H]$ is odd (by using Lemma 3.3).

Therefore, the fixed field E^N is the **smallest normal** extension of K containing L. Now we have from properties of λ-function(cf. 2.2(2)),

$$\lambda_N^G = \lambda_N^H \cdot (\lambda_H^G)^{[H:Z]}. \tag{3.13}$$

This implies $(\lambda_H^G)^{[H:N]} = 1$ since $[H:N]$ and $[G:N]$ are odd and N is normal subgroup of G contained in H. Therefore $\lambda_H^G = 1$ because λ_H^G is 4th root of unity and $[H:N]$ is odd. Moreover if $N = \{1\}$, it is then clear that N is a normal subgroup of G which sits in H and $[G:N] = |G|$ is odd. Therefore Lemma 3.4(2) implies that $\lambda_H^G = 1$.

Then we may say $\lambda_{L/K} = 1$ all possible cases if $[E^N : K]$ is odd. When the big extension E/F is odd then all intermediate extensions will be odd. Therefore, the theorem is proved for all possible cases.

□

Remark 3.6. **(1).** If the Galois extension E/F is infinite then we say it is **odd** if $[K:F]$ is odd for all sub-extensions of finite degree. This means the pro-finite group $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ can be realized as the projective limit of finite groups which are all odd order. If E/F is Galois extension of odd order in this more general sense, then again we will have $\lambda_{L/K} = 1$ in all cases where λ-function is defined.

(2). When the order of a finite local Galois group is odd, all weak extensions are strong extensions (for extendible functions, see [7], [12]), because from the above Theorem 3.5 we have $\lambda_1^G = 1$, where G is the odd order local Galois group. Let H be a any arbitrary subgroup of G, then from the properties of λ-functions we have

$$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_1^H \cdot (\lambda_H^G)^{|H|}.$$

This implies $\lambda_H^G = 1$, because $|H|$ is odd, hence $\lambda_1^H = 1$ and λ-functions are fourth roots of unity.

(3). But this above Theorem 3.5 is not true if K/F is not **Galois**. Guy Henniart gives **"An amusing formula"** (cf. [5], p. 124, Proposition 2) for $\lambda_{K/F}$, when K/F is arbitrary odd degree extension, and this formula is:

$$\lambda_{K/F} = W(\Delta_{K/F})^n \cdot \left(\frac{2}{q_F}\right)^{a(\Delta_{K/F})}, \tag{3.14}$$

where K/F is an extension in $\overline{F}$ with finite odd degree n, and $\left(\frac{2}{q_F}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol if p is odd and is 1 if $p = 2$. Here a denotes the exponent Artin-conductor.

4. Computation of λ_1^G where G is a finite local Galois group

Let G be a finite local Galois group of a non-archimedean local field F. Now we consider **Langlands'** λ-function:

$$\lambda_H^G := W(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}} 1_{\mathrm{H}}) = \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}} \cdot \mathrm{W}(\Delta_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}}), \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$c_H^G := c(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}} 1_{\mathrm{H}}).$$

From the equation (4.1) we observe that to compute λ_H^G we need to compute the Deligne's constant c_H^G and $W(\Delta_H^G)$. By the following theorem due to Bruno Kahn we will get our necessary information for our further requirement.

Theorem 4.1 ([2], Série 1-313, Theorem 1). *Let G be a finite group, r_G its regular representation. Let S be any 2-Sylow subgroup of G. Then $s_2(r_G) = 0$ in the following cases:*

(1) S is cyclic group of order $\geqslant 8$;
(2) S is generalized quaternion group;
(3) S is not metacyclic group.

We also need Gallagher's result.

Theorem 4.2 (Gallagher, [6], Theorem 30.1.8). *Assume that H is a normal subgroup of G, hence $\Delta_H^G = \Delta_1^{G/H}$, then*

(1) $\Delta_H^G = 1_G$, where 1_G is the trivial representation of G, unless the Sylow 2-subgroups of G/H are cyclic and nontrivial.
(2) If the Sylow 2-subgroups of G/H are cyclic and nontrivial, then Δ_H^G is the only linear character of G of order 2.

Definition 4.3 (**2-rank of a finite abelian group**). Let G be a finite abelian group. Then from elementary divisor theorem for finite abelian groups, we can write

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_s} \tag{4.2}$$

where $m_1|m_2|\cdots|m_s$ and $\prod_{i=1}^s m_i = |G|$. We define

$$\text{the 2-rank of } G := \text{the number of } m_i\text{-s which are even}$$

and we set

$$\mathrm{rk}_2(G) = \text{2-rank of } G.$$

When the order of an abelian group G is odd, from the structure of G we have $\mathrm{rk}_2(G) = 0$, i.e., there is no even m_i-s for G. We also denote

$$G[2] := \{x \in G| \quad 2x = 0\}, \text{ i.e., set of all elements of order at most 2.}$$

If $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, is an abelian group, then we can show that

$$|G[2]| = \prod_{i=1}^{r} |G_i[2]|. \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.4 (**Remark on Theorem 4.2**). If G is a finite group with subgroups $H' \subset H \subset G$ then for $\Delta_H^G = \det(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}} 1_{\mathrm{H}})$ we know from Gallagher's Theorem 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{H'}^G &= \det(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{H'}}^{\mathrm{G}} 1_{\mathrm{H'}}) = \det(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{H'}}^{\mathrm{H}} 1_{\mathrm{H'}})) \\ &= (\Delta_H^G)^{[H:H']} \cdot \det(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{H'}}^{\mathrm{H}} 1_{\mathrm{H'}}) \circ \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{G/H}} \\ &= (\Delta_H^G)^{[H:H']} \cdot (\Delta_{H'}^H \circ T_{G/H}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Now we use equation (4.4) for $H' = \{1\}$ and $H = [G, G] = G'$. Then we have

$$\Delta_1^G = (\Delta_{G'}^G)^{|G'|} \cdot \Delta_1^{G'} \circ T_{G/G'} = (\Delta_{G'}^G)^{|G'|}, \tag{4.5}$$

because by Theorem 10.25 on p. 320 of [9], $T_{G/G'}$ is the trivial map.

We also know that G' is a normal subgroup of G, then we can write $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{G'}}^{\mathrm{G}}1_{\mathrm{G'}} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_1^{\mathrm{G/G'}}1$, hence $\Delta_{G'}^G = \Delta_1^{G/G'}$. So we have

$$\Delta_1^G = (\Delta_{G'}^G)^{|G'|} = (\Delta_1^{G/G'})^{|G'|}. \tag{4.6}$$

From the above equation (4.6) we observe that Δ_1^G always reduces to the **abelian case** because G/G' is abelian. Moreover we know that:
If G *is abelian then* $\mathrm{Ind}_1^{\mathrm{G}}1 = \mathrm{r_G}$ *is the sum of all characters of* G, *hence from Miller's result (cf.* [15], *Theorem 6) for the abelian group* $\widehat{\mathrm{G}}$ *we obtain:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1^G = \det(\mathrm{Ind}_1^{\mathrm{G}}1) &= \det(\sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathrm{G}}}\chi)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G}}\det(\chi) = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G}}\chi\\ &= \begin{cases}\alpha & \textit{if}\ \mathrm{rk_2(G)} = 1\\ 1 & \textit{if}\ \mathrm{rk_2(G)} \neq 1,\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where α *is the uniquely determined quadratic character of* G.

Lemma 4.5. *The lambda function for a finite unramified extension of a non-archimedean local field is always a sign.*

Proof. Let K be a finite unramified extension of a non-archimedean local field F. We know that the unramified extensions are Galois, and their corresponding Galois groups are cyclic. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$, hence G is cyclic.

When the degree of K/F is odd, from Theorem 3.5 we have $\lambda_1^G = \lambda_{K/F} = 1$ because K/F is Galois.

When the degree of K/F is even, we have $\mathrm{rk_2(G)} = 1$ because G is cyclic. So from equation (4.7) we can write $\Delta_1^G = \alpha$, where α corresponds to the quadratic unramified extension. Then $\Delta_1^G(-1) = \alpha(-1) = 1$, because -1 is a norm, hence from the functional equation (2.7) we have

$$(\lambda_1^G)^2 = 1.$$

□

Moreover, since G/G' is abelian, by using equation (4.7) for G/G', from equation (4.6) we obtain:

Lemma 4.6. *Let* G *be a finite group and* S *be a Sylow 2-subgroup of* G. *Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $S < G$ *is nontrivial cyclic;*
(2) $\Delta_1^G \neq 1$, *is the unique quadratic character of* G*;*
(3) $\mathrm{rk_2(G/G')} = 1$ *and* $|G'|$ *is odd.*

Proof. Take $H = \{1\}$ in Gallagher's Theorem 4.2 and we can see that (1) and (2) are equivalent. From equation (4.6) we can see (2) implies the condition (3).

Now we are left to show that (3) implies (1). Let S' be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G/G'. Since $\mathrm{rk_2(G/G')} = 1$, hence $\mathrm{rk_2(S')} = 1$, and therefore S' is cyclic. Moreover $|G'|$ is odd, hence $|S| = |S'|$. Let $f : G \to G/G'$ be the canonical group homomorphism. Since $|G|$ is odd, and $\mathrm{rk_2(G/G')} = 1$, $f|_S$ is an isomorphism from S to S'. Hence S is a nontrivial cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup of G.

This completes the proof.

□

Theorem 4.7 (**Schur-Zassenhaus**). *If $H \subset G$ is a normal subgroup such that $|H|$ and $[G : H]$ are relatively prime, then H will have a complement S that is a subgroup of G such that*

$$G = H \rtimes S$$

is a semidirect product.

Let G be a local Galois group. Then it is known that G has Hall-subgroups (because G is solvable), $H \subset G$ of all types such that $[G : H]$ and $|H|$ are relatively prime. In particular, G will have an odd Hall subgroup $H \subset G$ such that $|H|$ is odd and $[G : H]$ is power of 2. From this we conclude

Proposition 4.8. *Let G be a finite local Galois group. Let $H \subset G$ be an odd order Hall subgroup of G (which is unique up to conjugation). Then we have*

$$\lambda_1^G = (\lambda_H^G)^{|H|}. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence $\lambda_1^G = \lambda_H^G$ if $|H| \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $\lambda_1^G = (\lambda_H^G)^{-1}$ if $|H| \equiv 3 \pmod 4$.
If the local base field F has residue characteristic $p \neq 2$, then the odd order Hall subgroup $H \subset G$ is a normal subgroup and therefore $\lambda_H^G = \lambda_1^{G/H}$, where $G/H \cong S$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. For $G = \mathrm{Gal(E/F)}$ this means that we have a unique normal extension K/F in E such that $\mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of G, and we will have

$$\lambda_{E/F} = \lambda_{K/F}^{[E:K]}.$$

Proof. We know that our local Galois group G is solvable, then G has an odd order Hall subgroup $H \subset G$. Then the formula (4.8) follows because $\lambda_1^H = 1$ (here $|H|$ is odd and H is a subgroup of the local Galois group G).

Let now $p \neq 2$ and let H be an odd order Hall subgroup of G. The ramification subgroup $G_1 \subset G$ is a normal subgroup of order a power of p, hence $G_1 \subset H$, and $H/G_1 \subset G/G_1$ will be an odd order Hall subgroup of G/G_1. But the group G/G_1 is **supersolvable**. It is also well known that the odd order Hall subgroup of a supersolvable group is normal. Therefore H/G_1 is normal in G/G_1, and this implies that H is normal in G. Now we can use Theorem 4.7 and we obtain $G/H \cong S$ where S must be a Sylow 2-subgroup. Therefore when $p \neq 2$ we have

$$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_{E/F} = (\lambda_1^{G/H})^{|H|} = \lambda_{K/F}^{[E:K]},$$

where $G = \mathrm{Gal(E/F)}$, $H = \mathrm{Gal(E/K)}$ and $G/H = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)} \cong \mathrm{S}$.

□

Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a local field with $p \neq 2$. Let K/F be the extension such that $\mathrm{Gal(K/F)} = \mathrm{V}$ Klein's 4-group. In the following lemma we give explicit formula for $\lambda_1^V = \lambda_{K/F}$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a local field with $p \neq 2$. Let K/F be the uniquely determined extension with $V = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$, Klein's 4-group. Then*
$\lambda_1^V = \lambda_{K/F} = -1$ if $-1 \in F^\times$ is a square, i.e., $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and
$\lambda_1^V = \lambda_{K/F} = 1$ if $-1 \in F^\times$ is not a square , i.e., if $q_F \equiv 3 \pmod 4$,
where q_F is the cardinality of the residue field of F.

Proof. If $p \neq 2$ then from Theorem 2.7 the square class group $F^\times/{F^\times}^2$ is Klein's 4-group, and K/F is the unique abelian extension such that $N_{K/F}(K^\times) = {F^\times}^2$, hence

$$\mathrm{Gal(K/F)} \cong \mathrm{F^\times/{F^\times}^2} = \mathrm{V}.$$

Since V is abelian, we can write $\widehat{V} \cong V$. This implies that there are exactly three nontrivial characters of V and they are quadratic. By class field theory we can consider them as quadratic characters of $F^\times$. This each quadratic character determines a quadratic extension of F. Thus there are three quadratic subextensions L_i/F in K/F, where $i = 1, 2, 3$. We denote L_1/F the unramified extension whereas L_2/F and L_3/F are tamely ramified. Then we can write

$$\lambda_{K/F} = \lambda_{K/L_i} \cdot \lambda_{L_i/F}^2 \tag{4.9}$$

for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The group V has four characters χ_i, $i = 0, \cdots, 3$, where $\chi_0 \equiv 1$ and $\chi_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are three characters of V such that $\mathrm{Gal}(K/L_i)$ is the kernel of χ_i, in other words, χ_i is the quadratic character of $F^\times/N_{L_i/F}(L_i^\times)$.

Let $r_V = \mathrm{Ind}_{\{1\}}^V 1$, then

$$\Delta_1^V = \det(r_V) = \textstyle\prod_{i=0}^3 \chi_i \equiv 1,$$

because $\chi_3 = \chi_1 \cdot \chi_2$. Therefore $W(\Delta_1^V) = 1$ and

$$\lambda_{K/F} = c(r_V)$$

is Deligne's constant. More precisely we have

$$\lambda_{K/F} = W(\chi_1) \cdot W(\chi_2) \cdot W(\chi_1\chi_2). \tag{4.10}$$

But here χ_1 is unramified and therefore $W(\chi_1) = \chi_1(c_1)$ (see equation (2.6)) and by using unramified character twisting formula, $W(\chi_1\chi_2) = \chi_1(c_2) \cdot W(\chi_2)$, where $c_2 = \pi_F c_1$ because $a(\chi_2) = 1 + a(\chi_1) = 1$. Therefore the equation (4.10) implies:

$$\lambda_{K/F} = \chi_1(c_1)^2 \cdot \chi_1(\pi_F) \cdot W(\chi_2)^2 = -\chi_2(-1), \tag{4.11}$$

since $\chi_1(\pi_F) = -1$. Similarly putting $\chi_2 = \chi_1^{-1}\chi_3 = \chi_1\chi_3$ and $\chi_1\chi_2 = \chi_3$ in the equation (4.10) we have

$$\lambda_{K/F} = -\chi_3(-1). \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore we have $\lambda_{K/F} = -\chi_i(-1)$ for $i = 2, 3$.

Moreover we know that

$$\chi_i(-1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -1 \in F^\times \text{ is a square, i.e., } q_F \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ -1 & \text{if } -1 \in F^\times \text{ is not a square , i.e., } q_F \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

Thus finally we conclude that

$$\lambda_{K/F}=-\chi_i(-1)=\begin{cases}-1 & \text{if } -1\in F^\times \text{ is a square, i.e., } q_F\equiv 1 \pmod 4\\ 1 & \text{if } -1\in F^\times \text{ is not a square, i.e., } q_F\equiv 3 \pmod 4\end{cases}$$

□

In the following theorem we give a general formula of λ_1^G, where G is a finite local Galois group.

Theorem 4.10. *Let G be a finite local Galois group of a non-archimedean local field F. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.*

(1) If $S=\{1\}$, we have $\lambda_1^G=1$.

*(2) If the Sylow 2-subgroup $S\subset G$ is nontrivial cyclic (**exceptional case**), then*

$$\lambda_1^G=\begin{cases}W(\alpha) & \text{if } |S|=2^n\geqslant 8\\ c_1^G\cdot W(\alpha) & \text{if } |S|\leqslant 4,\end{cases}\tag{4.13}$$

where α is a uniquely determined quadratic character of G.

*(3) If S is metacyclic but not cyclic (**invariant case**), then*

$$\lambda_1^G=\begin{cases}\lambda_1^V & \text{if } G \text{ contains Klein's } 4 \text{ group } V\\ 1 & \text{if } G \text{ does not contain Klein's } 4 \text{ group } V.\end{cases}\tag{4.14}$$

(4) If S is nontrivial and not metacyclic, then $\lambda_1^G=1$.

Proof. **(1).** When $S=\{1\}$, i.e., $|G|$ is odd, we know from Theorem 3.5 that $\lambda_1^G=1$.

(2). When $S=<g>$ is a nontrivial cyclic subgroup of G, Δ_1^G is nontrivial (because $\Delta_1^G(g)=(-1)^{|G|-\frac{|G|}{|S|}}=-1$) and by Lemma 4.6, $\Delta_1^G=\alpha$, where α is a uniquely determined quadratic character of G. Then we obtain

$$\lambda_1^G=c_1^G\cdot W(\Delta_1^G)=c_1^G\cdot W(\alpha).$$

If S is cyclic of order $2^n\geqslant 8$, then by Theorem 4.1(case 1) and Theorem 2.5 we have $c_1^G=1$, hence $\lambda_1^G=W(\alpha)$.

(3). The Sylow 2-subgroup $S\subset G$ is metacyclic but not cyclic (invariant case):
If G contains Klein's 4-group V, then $V\subset S$ because all Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate to each other. Then we have $V<S<G$. So from the properties of λ-function we have

$$\lambda_1^G=\lambda_1^V\cdot(\lambda_V^G)^4=\lambda_1^V.$$

Now assume that G does not contain Klein's 4-group. Then by assumption S is metacyclic, not cyclic and does not contain Klein's 4-group. We are going to see that this implies: S is generalized quaternion, and therefore by Theorem 4.1, $s_2(\mathrm{Ind}_1^G(1))=0$, hence $c_1^G=1$.

We use the following criterion for generalized quaternion groups: A finite p-group in which there is a unique subgroup of order p is either cyclic or generalized quaternion (cf. [14], p. 189, Theorem 12.5.2).

So it is enough to show: If S does not contain Klein's 4-group then S has precisely one subgroup of order 2. Indeed, we consider the center $Z(S)$ which is a nontrivial abelian 2-group. If it would be non-cyclic then $Z(S)$, hence S would contain Klein's 4-group. So $Z(S)$ must be cyclic, hence we have precisely one subgroup Z_2 of order 2 which sits in the center of S. Now assume that S has any other subgroup $U \subset S$ which is of order 2. Then Z_2 and U would generate a Klein-4-group in S which by our assumption cannot exist. Therefore $Z_2 \subset S$ is the only subgroup of order 2 in S. But S is not cyclic, so it is generalized quaternion. Thus we can write $\lambda_1^G = c_1^G \cdot W(\Delta_1^G) = W(\Delta_1^G)$. Now to complete the proof we need to show that $W(\Delta_1^G) = 1$. This follows from Lemma 4.6.

(4). The Sylow 2-subgroup S is nontrivial and not metacyclic.
We know that every cyclic group is also a metacyclic group. Therefore when S is nontrivial and not metacyclic, we are **not** in the position: $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{G}') = 1$ and $|G'|$ is odd. This gives $\Delta_1^G = 1$, hence $W(\Delta_1^G) = 1$. Furthermore by using the Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 2.5 we obtain the second Stiefel-Whitney class $s_2(\mathrm{Ind}_1^{\mathrm{G}}(1)) = 0$, hence $\lambda_1^G = c_1^G \cdot W(\Delta_1^G) = 1$.

This completes the proof.

□

In the above Theorem 4.10 we observe that if we are in the **Case 3**, then by using Lemma 4.9 we can give complete formula of λ_1^G for $p \neq 2$. Moreover by using Proposition 4.8 in **case 2**, we can come down the computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is quadratic.

Corollary 4.11. *Let* $G = \mathrm{Gal(E/F)}$ *be a finite local Galois group of a non-archimedean local field* $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ *with* $p \neq 2$. *Let* $S \cong G/H$ *be a nontrivial Sylow 2-subgroup of* G, *where* H *is a uniquely determined Hall subgroup of odd order. Suppose that we have a tower* $E/K/F$ *of fields such that* $S \cong \mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$, $H = \mathrm{Gal(E/K)}$ *and* $G = \mathrm{Gal(E/F)}$.

(1) If $S \subset G$ *is cyclic, then*

(a)

$$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_{K/F}^{\pm 1} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{K/F} = W(\alpha) & \text{if } [E:K] \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ \lambda_{K/F}^{-1} = W(\alpha)^{-1} & \text{if } [E:K] \equiv -1 \pmod 4, \end{cases}$$

(here $\alpha = \Delta_{K/F}$ *corresponds to the unique quadratic subextension in* K/F*) if* $[K:F] = 2$, *hence* $\alpha = \Delta_{K/F}$.

(b)

$$\lambda_1^G = \beta(-1) W(\alpha)^{\pm 1} = \beta(-1) \times \begin{cases} W(\alpha) & \text{if } [E:K] \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ W(\alpha)^{-1} & \text{if } [E:K] \equiv -1 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

if K/F *is cyclic of order* 4 *with generating character* β *such that* $\beta^2 = \alpha = \Delta_{K/F}$.

(c)

$$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_{K/F}^{\pm 1} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{K/F} = W(\alpha) & \text{if } [E:K] \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ \lambda_{K/F}^{-1} = W(\alpha)^{-1} & \text{if } [E:K] \equiv -1 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

if K/F *is cyclic of order* $2^n \geqslant 8$.

And if the 4*th roots of unity are in the* F*, we have the same formulas as above but with* 1 *instead of* ± 1*. Moreover, when* $p \neq 2$*, a precise formula for* $W(\alpha)$ *will be obtained in Theorem 5.9.*

(2) *If* S *is metacyclic but not cyclic and the* 4*th roots of unity are in* F*, then*
   (a) $\lambda_1^G = -1$ *if* $V \subset G$*,*
   (b) $\lambda_1^G = 1$ *if* $V \not\subset G$*.*

(3) *The* **Case 4** *of Theorem 4.10 will not occur in this case.*

Proof. **(1).** In the case when $p \neq 2$ we know from Proposition 4.8 that the odd Hall-subgroup $H < G$ is actually a normal subgroup with quotient $G/H \cong S$. So if $G = \mathrm{Gal(E/F)}$ and K/F is the maximal 2-extension inside E then $\mathrm{Gal(K/F) = G/H \cong S}$. And we obtain:

$$\lambda_1^G = (\lambda_1^{G/H})^{|H|} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{K/F} & \text{if } [E:K] = |H| \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ \lambda_{K/F}^{-1} & \text{if } [E:K] = |H| \equiv -1 \pmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

So it is enough to compute $\lambda_{K/F}$ for $\mathrm{Gal(K/F) \cong S}$, i.e., we can reduce the computation to the case where $G = S$.

We know that $\lambda_{K/F} = W(\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}(1)}) = \prod_\chi \mathrm{W}(\chi)$, where χ runs over all characters of the cyclic group Gal(K/F). If $[K:F] = 2$ then $\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}}(1) = 1 + \alpha$, where α is a quadratic character of F associated to K by class field theory, hence $\alpha = \Delta_{K/F}$. Thus $\lambda_{K/F} = W(\alpha)$.

If $[K:F] = 4$ then $\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}}(1) = 1 + \beta + \beta^2 + \beta^3$, where $\beta^2 = \alpha = \Delta_{K/F}$ and $\beta^3 = \beta^{-1}$, hence by the functional equation of local constant we have:

$$W(\beta)W(\beta^{-1}) = \beta(-1).$$

We then obtain:

$$\lambda_{K/F} = W(\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}(1)}) = \mathrm{W}(\beta)\mathrm{W}(\beta^2)\mathrm{W}(\beta^3) = \beta(-1) \times \mathrm{W}(\alpha).$$

If S is cyclic of order $2^n \geqslant 8$, then by Theorem 2.5, $c_1^S = 1$. Again from equation (4.7) we have $W(\Delta_1^S) = W(\alpha)$ because $\mathrm{rk_2(S)} = 1$, where α is the uniquely determined quadratic character of F. Thus we obtain

$$\lambda_{K/F} = c_1^S \cdot W(\Delta_1^S) = W(\alpha).$$

Finally by using the equation (4.15) we obtain our desired results.

Now we denote $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and consider it in the algebraic closure of F. If $i \notin F$ then $p \neq 2$ implies that $F(i)/F$ is unramified extension of degree 2. Then we reach the case $i \in F$ which we have assumed.

Then first of all we know that λ_H^G is always is a **sign** because

$$(\lambda_H^G)^2 = \Delta_H^G(-1) = \Delta_H^G(i^2) = 1.$$

Then the formula (4.15) turns into

$$\lambda_1^G = (\lambda_1^{G/H})^{|H|} = \lambda_1^{G/H},$$

where $G/H = \mathrm{Gal(K/F) \cong S}$. Therefore in **Case 2** of Theorem 4.10 we have now same formulas as above but with 1 instead of ±1.

(2). Moreover when $p \neq 2$ we know that always $\lambda_1^V = -1$ if $i \in F$ (cf. Lemma 4.9). Again if $V \subseteq S$, hence $V \subseteq G$, and we have

$$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_1^V \cdot (\lambda_V^G)^4 = \lambda_1^V.$$

Therefore, when S is metacyclic but not cyclic we can simply say:
$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_1^V = -1$, if $V \subset G$,
$\lambda_1^G = 1$, if $V \not\subset G$.

(3). If the base field F is p-adic for $p \neq 2$ then as a Galois group S corresponds to a tamely ramified extension (because the degree 2^n is prime to p), and therefore S must be metacyclic. Therefore the **Case 4** of Theorem 4.10 can never occur if $p \neq 2$.

□

Remark 4.12. If S is cyclic of order $2^n \geqslant 8$, then we have two formulas:
$\lambda_1^G = W(\alpha)$ as obtained in Theorem 4.10(2), and $\lambda_1^G = W(\alpha)^{\pm 1}$ in Corollary 4.11. So we observe that for $|S| = 2^n \geqslant 8$ and $|H| \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ the value of $W(\alpha)$ must be a sign for $p \neq 2$.

In **Case 3** of Theorem 4.10 we notice that $\Delta_1^G \equiv 1$, hence $\lambda_1^G = c_1^G$. We know also that this Deligne's constant c_1^G takes values ± 1 (cf. Proposition 2.4(v)). Moreover we also notice that the Deligne's constant of a representation is independent of the choice of the additive character. Therefore in Case 3 of Theorem 4.10, $\lambda_1^G = c_1^G \in \{\pm 1\}$ will **not** depend on the choice of the additive character. Since in Case 3 the computation of λ_1^G does not depend on the choice of the additive characters, hence we call this case as **invariant case**.

Furthermore, Bruno Kahn in his second paper (cf. [2]) deals with $s_2(r_G)$, where r_G is a regular representation of G in the invariant case. For metacyclic S of order $\geqslant 4$, we have the presentation

$$S \cong G(n,m,r,l) = < a, b : a^{2^n} = 1, b^{2^m} = a^{2^r}, bab^{-1} = a^l >$$
$$\text{with } n, m \geqslant 1,\ 0 \leqslant r \leqslant n,\ l \text{ an integer} \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{n-r}},\ l^{2^m} \equiv l \pmod{2^n}.$$

When S is **metacyclic but not cyclic** with $n \geqslant 2$, then $s_2(r_G) = 0$ if and only if $m = 1$ and $l \equiv -1 \pmod 4$ (cf. [2], on p. 575 of the second paper). In this case our $\lambda_1^G = c_1^G = 1$.

Corollary 4.13. *Let G be a finite abelian local Galois group of $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$, where $p \neq 2$. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.*

1. *If $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S}) = 0$, we have $\lambda_1^G = 1$.*
2. *If $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S}) = 1$, then*

$$\lambda_1^G = \begin{cases} W(\alpha) & \text{if } |S| = 2^n \geqslant 8 \\ c_1^G \cdot W(\alpha) & \text{if } |S| \leqslant 4, \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

where α is a uniquely determined quadratic character of G.

3. *If $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S}) = 2$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^G = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } -1 \in F^\times \text{ is a square element} \\ 1 & \text{if } -1 \in F^\times \text{ is not a square element.} \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. This proof is straightforward from Theorem 4.10 and Corollary 4.11. Here S is abelian and normal because G is abelian. When $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S})=0$, G is of odd order, hence $\lambda_1^G=1$. When $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S})=1$, S is a cyclic group because $S\cong\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ for some $n\geqslant 1$. Then we are in the Case 2 of Theorem 4.10. From the Case 4 of Corollary 4.11, we can say that the case $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S})\geqslant 3$ will not occur here because $p\neq 2$ and G tame Galois group[3].

So we are left to check the case $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S})=2$. In this case S is metacyclic and contains Klein's 4-group, i.e., $V\subseteq S\subseteq G$. Then we have from the properties of λ-functions and Lemma 4.9 we obtain

$$\lambda_1^G=\lambda_1^V\cdot(\lambda_V^G)^4=\lambda_1^V=\begin{cases}-1 & \text{if } -1\in F^\times \text{ is a square element}\\ 1 & \text{if } -1\in F^\times \text{ is not a square element.}\end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

□

Remark 4.14. In Corollary 4.13 we observe that except the case $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S})=1$, the computation of λ_1^G is explicit. Now let $G=\mathrm{Gal(L/F)}$, where L/F is a finite abelian Galois extension, and K/F be a subextension in L/F for which $\mathrm{Gal(L/K)}=\mathrm{S}$. Since S is normal, K/F is Galois extension of odd degree. Then when $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S})=1$ we have

$$\lambda_1^G=\lambda_1^S\cdot(\lambda_S^G)^{|S|}. \tag{4.19}$$

Again S is normal subgroup of G, hence $G/S\cong\mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$. Hence

$$\lambda_S^G=\lambda_1^{G/S}=\lambda_1^{\mathrm{Gal(K/F)}}=\lambda_{K/F}=1.$$

Moreover $\lambda_1^S=\lambda_{L/K}$. Then when $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{S})=1$ we have

$$\lambda_1^G=\lambda_1^S=\lambda_{L/K},$$

where $[L:K]=2^n$ $(n\geqslant 1)$.

From Theorem 4.10 and Corollaries 4.11, 4.13 for the **case** $p\neq 2$ we realize that the explicit computation of $W(\alpha)$ gives a complete computation of λ_1^G in the case $p\neq 2$. In the following section we give explicit computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, when K/F is an even degree Galois extension.

5. Explicit computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is an even degree Galois extension

Let K/F be a quadratic extension of the field $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $G=\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ be the Galois group of the extension K/F. Let t be the **ramification break or jump** (cf. [10]) of the Galois group G (or of the extension K/F). Then it can be proved that the conductor of $\omega_{K/F}$ (a quadratic character of $F^\times$ associated to K by class field theory) is $t+1$ (cf. [20], Lemma 3.1). When K/F is unramified we have $t=-1$, therefore the conductor of a quadratic character $\omega_{K/F}$ of $F^\times$ is zero, i.e., $\omega_{K/F}$ is unramified. And when K/F is tamely ramified we have $t=0$, then $a(\omega_{K/F})=1$. In the wildly ramified case (which occurs if $p=2$) it can be proved that $a(\omega_{K/F})=t+1$ is, **up to the exceptional case** $t=2\cdot e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_2}$, always an **even number** which can be seen by the following filtration of $F^\times$.

---

[3] Here tame Galois group means a Galois group of a tamely ramified extension.

Let K/F be a quadratic wild ramified extension, hence $p=2$. In F, we have the sequence $F^\times \supset U_F \supset U_F^1 \supset U_F^2 \supset \cdots$ of higher principal unit subgroups. Since $\omega_{K/F}$ is a quadratic character, it will be trivial on $F^{\times^2}$ therefore we need to consider the induced sequence

$$\textbf{(S2)} \qquad F^\times \supset U_F F^{\times^2} \supset U_F^1 F^{\times^2} \supset U_F^2 F^{\times^2} \supset \cdots \supset F^{\times^2}.$$

In general, for any prime p, for $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ we have the following series

$$\textbf{(Sp)} \qquad F^\times \supset U_F F^{\times^p} \supset U_F^1 F^{\times^p} \supset U_F^2 F^{\times^p} \supset \cdots \supset F^{\times^p}.$$

Now we use Corollary 5.8 of [8] on p. 16, for the following: Let $e=\nu_F(p)=e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ be the absolute ramification exponent of F. Then

(i) If $i>\frac{pe}{p-1}$ then $U_F^i \subset F^{\times^p}$, hence $U_F^i F^{\times^p} = F^{\times^p}$.
(ii) If $i<\frac{pe}{p-1}$ and i is prime to p, then in

$$1 \to U_F^i \cap F^{\times^p}/U_F^{i+1} \cap F^{\times^p} \xrightarrow{(1)} U_F^i/U_F^{i+1} \xrightarrow{(2)} U_F^i \cdot F^{\times^p}/U_F^{i+1} \cdot F^{\times^p} \to 1, \tag{5.1}$$

the arrow (1) is trivial and (2) is an isomorphism.
(iii) If $i<\frac{pe}{p-1}$ and p divides i, then arrow (1) is an isomorphism and (2) is trivial

Therefore the jumps in **(Sp)** occur at $U_F^i F^{\times^p}$, where i is prime to p and $i<\frac{pe}{p-1}$. (If $\frac{pe}{p-1}$ is an integer, then $i=\frac{pe}{p-1}$ is an **exceptional case.**)

We now take $p=2$, hence $\frac{pe}{p-1}=2e$. Then the sequence **(Sp)** turns into **(S2)** for all odd numbers $t<2e$ or for $t=2e$ (the exceptional case). This means that in the wild ramified case the conductor $a(\omega_{K/F})=t+1$ will always be an **even number** (except when $t=2e$).

In the following lemma we compute an explicit formula for $\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F)$, where K/F is a quadratic unramified extension of F. In general for **any quadratic extension** K/F, we can write $\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}1 = 1_F \oplus \omega_{K/F}$, where $\omega_{K/F}$ is a quadratic character of $F^\times$ associated to K by class field theory and 1_F is the trivial character of $F^\times$. Now by the definition of λ-function we have:

$$\lambda_{K/F} = W(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}1) = W(\omega_{K/F}). \tag{5.2}$$

So, $\lambda_{K/F}$ is the local constant of the quadratic character $\omega_{K/F}$ corresponding to K/F.

Lemma 5.1. *Let K be the quadratic unramified extension of $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let ψ_F be the canonical additive character of F with conductor $n(\psi_F)$. Then*

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F) = (-1)^{n(\psi_F)}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. When K/F is quadratic unramified extension, it is easy to see that in equation (5.2) $\omega_{K/F}$ is an unramified character because here the ramification break t is -1. Then from equation (2.6) have:

$$W(\omega_{K/F}) = \omega_{K/F}(c).$$

Here $\nu_F(c)=n(\psi_F)$. Therefore from equation (5.2) we obtain:

$$\lambda_{K/F} = \omega_{K/F}(\pi_F)^{n(\psi_F)}. \tag{5.4}$$

We also know that $\pi_F \notin N_{K/F}(K^\times)$, and hence $\omega_{K/F}(\pi_F) = -1$. Therefore from equation (5.4), we have

$$\lambda_{K/F} = (-1)^{n(\psi_F)}. \tag{5.5}$$

□

For giving the generalized formula for $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is an even degree unramified extension, we need the following lemma, and here we give an alternative proof by using Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 5.2 ([1], p. 142, Corollary 3)**.** *Let K/F be a finite extension and let $\mathcal{D}_{K/F}$ be the different of this extension K/F. Let ψ be an additive character of F. Then*

$$n(\psi\circ\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}) = e_{K/F}\cdot n(\psi) + \nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F}). \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Let the conductor of the character $\psi\circ\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}$ be m. This means from the definition of conductor of additive character we have

$$\psi\circ\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}|_{P_K^{-m}} = 1 \text{ but } \psi\circ\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}|_{P_K^{-m-1}} \neq 1,$$
$$\text{i.e., } \psi(\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}(P_K^{-m})) = 1 \text{ but } \psi(\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}(P_K^{-m-1})) \neq 1.$$

This implies

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}(P_K^{-m}) \subseteq P_F^{-n(\psi)},$$

since $n(\psi)$ is the conductor of ψ. Then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$P_K^{-m}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{K/F} \subseteq P_F^{-n(\psi)} \Leftrightarrow P_K^{-m+d_{K/F}}O_K \subseteq P_F^{-n(\psi)}, \tag{5.7}$$

since $\mathcal{D}_{K/F} = \pi_K^{d_{K/F}}O_K$. From the definition of ramification index we know that

$$\pi_K^{e_{K/F}}O_K = \pi_F O_K.$$

Therefore from the equation (5.7) we obtain:

$$P_K^{-m+d_{K/F}}O_K \subseteq P_K^{-n(\psi)e_{K/F}}O_K.$$

This implies

$$m \leqslant n(\psi)\cdot e_{K/F} + d_{K/F} = n(\psi)\cdot e_{K/F} + \nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F}), \tag{5.8}$$

since $d_{K/F} = \nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F})$.

Now we have to prove that the equality $m = n(\psi)\cdot e_{K/F} + \nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F})$.
Let $m = n(\psi)\cdot e_{K/F} + \nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F}) - r$, where $r \geqslant 0$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}(P_K^{-n(\psi)\cdot e_{K/F} - d_{K/F} + d_{K/F} + r}) \subseteq P_K^{-n(\psi)\cdot e_{K/F}}.$$

This implies $r \leqslant 0$. Therefore r must be $r = 0$, because by assumption $r \geqslant 0$. This proves that

$$m = n(\psi\circ\mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}) = e_{K/F}\cdot n(\psi) + \nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F}).$$

□

Remark 5.3. If K/F is unramified, then $e_{K/F} = 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_{K/F} = O_K$, hence $\nu_K(\mathcal{D}_{K/F}) = \nu_K(O_K) = 0$, therefore from the above Lemma 5.2 we have $n(\psi \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{K/F}) = n(\psi)$. Moreover if $\psi_F = \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$, is the canonical additive character of F, then $n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = 0$ and therefore

$$n(\psi_F) = \nu_F(\mathcal{D}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p})$$

is the exponent of the absolute different.

Theorem 5.4. *Let K/F be a finite unramified extension with even degree and let ψ_F be the canonical additive character of F with conductor $n(\psi_F)$. Then*

$$\lambda_{K/F} = (-1)^{n(\psi_F)}. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. When K/F is a quadratic unramified extension, by Lemma 5.1, we have $\lambda_{K/F} = (-1)^{n(\psi_F)}$. We also know that if K/F is unramified of even degree then we have precisely one subextension K'/F in K/F such that $[K : K'] = 2$. Then

$$\lambda_{K/F} = \lambda_{K/K'} \cdot (\lambda_{K'/F})^2 = \lambda_{K/K'} = (-1)^{n(\psi_{K'})} = (-1)^{n(\psi_F)},$$

because in the unramified case λ-function is always a sign (cf. Lemma 4.5), and from Lemma 5.2, $n(\psi_{K'}) = n(\psi_F)$.

This completes the proof.

$\square$

In the following corollary, we show that the above Theorem 5.4 is true for any nontrivial arbitrary additive character.

Corollary 5.5. *Let K/F be a finite unramified extension of even degree and let ψ be any nontrivial additive character of F with conductor $n(\psi)$. Then*

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi) = (-1)^{n(\psi)}. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. We know that any nontrivial additive character ψ is of the form, for some unique $b \in F^\times$, $\psi(x) := b\psi_F(x)$, for all $x \in F$. By the definition of conductor of additive character of F, we obtain:

$$n(\psi) = n(b\psi_F) = \nu_F(b) + n(\psi_F).$$

Now let $G = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$ be the Galois group of the extension K/F. Since K/F is unramified, then G is **cyclic**. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Here S is nontrivial cyclic because the degree of K/F is even and G is cyclic. Then from Lemma 4.6 we have $\Delta_1^G = \Delta_{K/F} \not\equiv 1$. Therefore $\Delta_{K/F}(b) = (-1)^{\nu_F(b)}$ is the uniquely determined unramified quadratic character of $F^\times$. Now from equation (3.10) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{K/F}(\psi) &= \lambda_{K/F}(b \cdot \psi_F)\\ &= \Delta_{K/F}(b)\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F)\\ &= (-1)^{\nu_F(b)} \times (-1)^{n(\psi_F)}, \quad \text{from Theorem 5.4}\\ &= (-1)^{\nu_F(b)+n(\psi_F)}\\ &= (-1)^{n(\psi)}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore when K/F is an unramified extension of even degree, we have

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi) = (-1)^{n(\psi)}, \tag{5.11}$$

where ψ is any nontrivial additive character of F.

□

In the following theorem we give an explicit formula of $\lambda_{K/F}$, when K/F is an even degree Galois extension with odd ramification index.

Theorem 5.6. *Let K be an even degree Galois extension of a non-archimedean local field F of odd ramification index. Let ψ be a nontrivial additive character of F. Then*

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi) = (-1)^{n(\psi)}. \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. In general, any extension K/F of local fields has a uniquely determined maximal subextension F'/F in K/F which is unramified. If the degree of K/F is even, then certainly we have $e_{K/F} = [K : F']$ because $e_{K/F} = e_{F'/F} \cdot e_{K/F'} = e_{K/F'}$ and K/F' is a totally ramified extension. By the given condition, here K/F is an even degree Galois extension with odd ramification index $e_{K/F}$, hence K/F' is an odd degree Galois extension. Now from the properties of λ-function and Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\lambda_{K/F} = \lambda_{K/F'} \cdot (\lambda_{F'/F})^{e_{K/F}} = (-1)^{e_{K/F} \cdot n(\psi_F)} = (-1)^{n(\psi_F)},$$

because K/F' is an odd degree Galois extension and F'/F is an unramified extension.

□

5.1. **Computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a tamely ramified quadratic extension.** The existence of a tamely ramified quadratic character (which is not unramified) of a local field F implies $p \neq 2$ for the residue characteristic. But then by Theorem 2.7

$$F^\times / F^{\times 2} \cong V$$

is isomorphic to Klein's 4-group. So we have only 3 nontrivial quadratic characters in that case, corresponding to 3 quadratic extensions K/F. One is unramified and other two are ramified. The unramified case is already settled (cf. Lemma 5.1). Now we have to address the quadratic ramified characters. These two ramified quadratic characters determine two different quadratic ramified extensions of F.

In the ramified case we have $a(\chi) = 1$ because it is tame, and we take ψ of conductor -1. Then we have $a(\chi) + n(\psi) = 0$ and therefore in the definition of $W(\chi, \psi)$ (cf. equation (2.2)) we can take $c = 1$. So we obtain:

$$W(\chi, \psi) = q_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x \in U_F/U_F^1} \chi^{-1}(x)\psi(x) = q_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x \in k_F^\times} \overline{\chi}^{-1}(x)\overline{\psi}(x), \tag{5.13}$$

where $\overline{\chi}$ is the quadratic character of the residue field $k_F^\times$, and $\overline{\psi}$ is an additive character of k_F. When $n(\psi) = -1$, we observe that both **the ramified characters χ give the same $\overline{\chi}$, hence the same** $W(\chi, \psi)$, because one is different from other by a quadratic unramified character twist. To compute an explicit formula for $\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1})$, where K/F is a tamely ramified quadratic extension and ψ_{-1} is an additive character of F with conductor -1, we need to use classical quadratic Gauss sums.

Now let F be a non-archimedean local field. Let ψ_{-1} be an additive character of F of conductor -1, i.e., $\psi_{-1}: F/P_F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Now restrict ψ_{-1} to O_F, it will be one of the characters $a\cdot\psi_{q_F}$, for some $a\in k_{q_F}^\times$ and usually it will not be ψ_{q_F} itself. Therefore choosing ψ_{-1} is very important and we have to choose ψ_{-1} such a way that its restriction to O_F is exactly ψ_{q_F}. Then we will be able to use the quadratic classical Gauss sum in our λ-function computation. We also know that there exists an element $c\in F^\times$ such that

$$\psi_{-1} = c\cdot\psi_F \tag{5.14}$$

induces the canonical character ψ_{q_F} on the residue field k_F.

Now question is: **Finding proper** $c\in F^\times$ **for which** $\psi_{-1}|_{O_F} = c\cdot\psi_F|_{O_F} = \psi_{q_F}$, i.e., the canonical character of the residue field k_F.

From the definition of conductor of the additive character ψ_{-1} of F, we obtain from the construction (5.14)

$$-1 = \nu_F(c) + n(\psi_F) = \nu_F(c) + d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}. \tag{5.15}$$

In the next two lemmas we choose the proper c for our requirement.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a local field and let ψ_{-1} be an additive character of F of conductor -1. Let ψ_F be the canonical character of F. Let $c\in F^\times$ be any element such that $-1 = \nu_F(c) + d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and*

$$\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(c)} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}}, \tag{5.16}$$

where $F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the maximal unramified subextension in $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then the restriction of $\psi_{-1} = c\cdot\psi_F$ to O_F is the canonical character ψ_{q_F} of the residue field k_F of F.

Proof. Since $F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the maximal unramified subextension in $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$, we have $\pi_{F_0} = p$, and the residue fields of F and F_0 are isomorphic, i.e., $k_{F_0}\cong k_F$, because F/F_0 is totally ramified extension. Then every element of O_F/P_F can be considered as an element of O_{F_0}/P_{F_0}. Moreover since $F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the maximal unramified extension, then from Proposition 2 of [1] on p. 140, for $x\in O_{F_0}$ we have

$$\rho_p(\mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)}) = \mathrm{Tr_{k_{F_0}/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}}(\rho_0(\mathrm{x})),$$

where ρ_0, ρ_p are the canonical homomorphisms of O_{F_0} onto k_{F_0}, and of $O_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ onto $k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, respectively. Then for $x\in k_{F_0}$ we can write

$$\mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)} = \mathrm{Tr_{k_{F_0}/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(x)}. \tag{5.17}$$

Furthermore, since F/F_0 is totally ramified, we have $k_F = k_{F_0}$, then the trace map for the tower of the residue fields $k_F/k_{F_0}/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ is:

$$\mathrm{Tr_{k_F/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(x)} = \mathrm{Tr_{k_{F_0}/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}}\circ\mathrm{Tr_{k_F/k_{F_0}}(x)} = \mathrm{Tr_{k_{F_0}/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(x)}, \tag{5.18}$$

for all $x\in k_F$. Then from the equations (5.17) and (5.18) we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)} = \mathrm{Tr_{k_F/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(x)} \tag{5.19}$$

for all $x\in k_F$.

Since the conductor of ψ_{-1} is -1, for $x \in O_F/P_F (= O_{F_0}/P_{F_0}$ because F/F_0 is totally ramified) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{-1}(x) &= c \cdot \psi_F(x)\\ &= \psi_F(cx)\\ &= \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathrm{Tr_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}(cx)})\\ &= \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}\circ Tr_{F/F_0}(cx)})\\ &= \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x\cdot Tr_{F/F_0}(c))})\\ &= \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\frac{1}{p}x)}), \quad \text{since } x\in O_F/P_F = O_{F_0}/P_{F_0} \text{ and } \mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(c)} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}}\\ &= \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\frac{1}{p}\mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)}), \quad \text{because } \frac{1}{p}\in\mathbb{Q}_p\\ &= e^{\frac{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)}}{p}}, \quad \text{because } \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(x) = e^{2\pi i x}\\ &= e^{\frac{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr_{k_F/k_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(x)}}{p}}, \quad \text{using equation (5.19)}\\ &= \psi_{q_F}(x).\end{aligned}$$

This competes the lemma.

□

The next step is to produce good elements c more explicitly. By using Lemma 5.7, in the next lemma we see more general choices of c.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a tamely ramified local field and let ψ_{-1} be an additive character of F of conductor -1. Let ψ_F be the canonical character of F. Let $F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be the maximal unramified subextension in $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $c \in F^\times$ be any element such that $-1 = \nu_F(c) + d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then*

$$c' = \tfrac{c}{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}},$$

fulfills conditions (5.15), (5.16), and hence $\psi_{-1}|_{O_F} = c'\cdot\psi_F|_{O_F} = \psi_{q_F}$.

Proof. By the given condition we have $\nu_F(c) = -1 - d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} = -1-(e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}-1) = -e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then we can write $c = \pi_F^{-e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}u(c) = p^{-1}u(c)$ for some $u(c)\in U_F$ because $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is tamely ramified, hence $p = \pi_F^{e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. Then we can write

$$\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc) = p\cdot Tr_{F/F_0}(c) = p\cdot p^{-1}u_0(c) = u_0(c)\in U_{F_0}\subset U_F},$$

where $u_0(c) = \mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(u(c))}$, hence $\nu_F(\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}) = 0$. Then the valuation of c' is:

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_F(c') = \nu_F(\tfrac{c}{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}}) &= \nu_F(c) - \nu_F(\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)})\\ &= \nu_F(c) - 0 = \nu_F(c) = -1 - d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc) = u_0(c)\in U_{F_0}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(c') = Tr_{F/F_0}(\tfrac{c}{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}) = \tfrac{1}{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}\cdot Tr_{F/F_0}(c) = \tfrac{1}{p\cdot Tr_{F/F_0}(c)}\cdot Tr_{F/F_0}(c) = \tfrac{1}{p}}.$$

Thus we observe that here $c'\in F^\times$ satisfies equations (5.15) and (5.16). Therefore from Lemma 5.7 we can see that $\psi_{-1}|_{O_F} = c'\cdot\psi_F|_{O_F}$ is the canonical additive character of k_F. □

By Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8 we get many good (in the sense that $\psi_{-1}|_{O_F} = c\cdot\psi_F|_{O_F} = \psi_{q_F}$) elements c which we will use in our next theorem to calculate $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a tamely ramified quadratic extension.

Theorem 5.9. *Let K be a tamely ramified quadratic extension of $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ with $q_F = p^s$. Let ψ_F be the canonical additive character of F. Let $c \in F^\times$ with $-1 = \nu_F(c) + d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and $c' = \frac{c}{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}}$, where $F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the maximal unramified extension in $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let ψ_{-1} be an additive character of F with conductor -1, of the form $\psi_{-1} = c'\cdot\psi_F$. Then*

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F) = \Delta_{K/F}(c')\cdot\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}),$$

where

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}) = \begin{cases}(-1)^{s-1} & \textit{if } p\equiv 1 \pmod 4\\ (-1)^{s-1}i^s & \textit{if } p\equiv 3 \pmod 4.\end{cases}$$

If we take $c = \pi_F^{-1-d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}$, where π_F is a norm for K/F, then

$$\Delta_{K/F}(c') = \begin{cases}1 & \textit{if } \overline{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}} \in k_{F_0}^\times = k_F^\times \textit{ is a square},\\ -1 & \textit{if } \overline{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}} \in k_{F_0}^\times = k_F^\times \textit{ is not a square}.\end{cases} \tag{5.20}$$

Here "overline" stands for modulo P_{F_0}.

Proof. From equation (3.10) we have

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}) = \lambda_{K/F}(c'\psi_F) = \Delta_{K/F}(c')\cdot\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F).$$

Since $\Delta_{K/F}$ is quadratic, we can write $\Delta_{K/F} = \Delta_{K/F}^{-1}$. So we obtain

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_F) = \Delta_{K/F}(c')\cdot\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}).$$

Now we have to compute $\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1})$, and which we do in the following:
Since $[K:F] = 2$, we have $\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}(1) = 1_F \oplus \omega_{K/F}}$. The conductor of $\omega_{K/F}$ is 1 because K/F is a tamely ramified quadratic extension, and hence $t = 0$, so $a(\omega_{K/F}) = t+1 = 1$. Therefore we can consider $\omega_{K/F}$ as a character of $F^\times/U_F^1$. So the restriction of $\omega_{K/F}$ to U_F, $\mathrm{res(\omega_{K/F}) := \omega_{K/F}|_{U_F}}$, we may consider as the uniquely determined character of $k_F^\times$ of order 2. Since c' satisfies equations (5.15), (5.16), then from Lemma 5.8 we have $\psi_{-1}|_{O_F} = c'\cdot\psi_F|_{O_F} = \psi_{q_F}$, and this is the canonical character of k_F. Then from equation (5.13) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}) &= q_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{x\in k_F^\times}\mathrm{res(\omega_{K/F})(x)\psi_{q_F}(x)}\\ &= q_F^{-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot G(\mathrm{res(\omega_{K/F}), \psi_{q_F}}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 2.6 we have

$$G(\mathrm{res(\omega_{K/F}), \psi_{q_F}}) = \begin{cases}(-1)^{s-1}q_F^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } p\equiv 1 \pmod 4\\ (-1)^{s-1}i^s q_F^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } p\equiv 3 \pmod 4.\end{cases} \tag{5.21}$$

By using the classical quadratic Gauss sum we obtain

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi_{-1}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{s-1} & \text{if } p\equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ (-1)^{s-1}i^s & \text{if } p\equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

We also can write $\Delta_{K/F} = \det(\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}(1)}) = \det(\mathrm{1_F \oplus \omega_{K/F}}) = \mathrm{\omega_{K/F}}$. So we have

$$\Delta_{K/F}(\pi_F) = \omega_{K/F}(\pi_F) = 1,$$

because $\pi_F \in N_{K/F}(K^\times)$.

Under the assumption of the Theorem 5.9 we have $\pi_F \in N_{K/F}(K^\times)$, $\Delta_{K/F} = \omega_{K/F}$ and $c' = \frac{c}{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}}$, where $c \in F^\times$ with $\nu_F(c) = -1 - d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{K/F}(c') &= \omega_{K/F}(c') \\
&= \omega_{K/F}\left(\frac{c}{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}}\right) \\
&= \omega_{K/F}\left(\frac{\pi_F^{-e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}u(c)}{u_0(c)}\right), \quad \text{where } c = \pi_F^{-e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}u(c),\ \mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc) = u_0(c) \in U_{F_0}} \\
&= \omega_{K/F}(\pi_F^{-e_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}})\omega_{K/F}(v), \quad \text{where } v = \frac{u(c)}{u_0(c)} \in U_F \\
&= \omega_{K/F}(x) \\
&= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x \text{ is a square element in } k_F^\times \\ -1 & \text{when } x \text{ is not a square element in } k_F^\times, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where $v = xy$, with $x = x(\omega_{K/F}, c) \in U_F/U_F^1$, and $y \in U_F^1$.

In particular, if we choose c such a way that $u(c) = 1$, i.e., $c = \pi_F^{-1-d_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}}$, then we have $\Delta_{K/F}(c') = \Delta_{K/F}(\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)})$. Since $\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc) \in O_{F_0}}$ is a unit and $\Delta_{K/F} = \omega_{K/F}$ induces the quadratic character of $k_F^\times = k_{F_0}^\times$, then for this particular choice of c we obtain

$$\Delta_{K/F}(c') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \overline{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}} \text{ is a square in } k_{F_0}^\times \\ -1 & \text{if } \overline{\mathrm{Tr_{F/F_0}(pc)}} \text{ is not a square in } k_{F_0}^\times. \end{cases}$$

□

Note: When we are in the Case 1 of Corollary 4.11, by using this above Theorem 5.9 we can give explicit formula for $\lambda_{K/F}$, because here the quadratic extensions are tamely ramified (since $p \neq 2$).

Remark 5.10. When $p \neq 2$, for any non-archimedean local field $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ we know that the square class group $F^\times/F^{\times^2} \cong V$ Klein's 4-group. If K is the abelian extension of F with $N_{K/F}(K^\times) = F^{\times^2}$, then from Lemma 4.9 we can see that $\lambda_{K/F}$ depends on the choice of the base field F. Now we put $\lambda_i = \lambda_{L_i/F}$, where L_i/F are quadratic extension of F, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let ψ be an additive character of F. From a direct computation we can write

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi) = W(\mathrm{Ind}_{K/F}1, \psi) = W(\chi_1, \psi)W(\chi_2, \psi)W(\chi_3, \psi) = \lambda_1(\psi)\lambda_2(\psi)\lambda_3(\psi). \tag{5.23}$$

On the other hand from Lemma 4.9 we see:

$$\lambda_{K/F}(\psi) = -\lambda_2(\psi)^2 = -\lambda_3(\psi)^2. \tag{5.24}$$

Comparing these two expressions we obtain:

$$\lambda_1(\psi)\lambda_3(\psi) = -\lambda_2(\psi), \text{ and } \lambda_1(\psi)\lambda_2(\psi) = -\lambda_3(\psi).$$

Moreover since L_1/F is unramified, therefore from Lemma 5.1 we have $\lambda_1(\psi) = (-1)^{n(\psi)}$. So we observe:

(a) The three conditions: $n(\psi)$ odd, $\lambda_1(\psi) = -1$, and $\lambda_2(\psi) = \lambda_3(\psi)$ are equivalent.
(b) In the same way, the conditions: $n(\psi)$ even, $\lambda_1(\psi) = 1$ and $\lambda_2(\psi) = -\lambda_3(\psi)$ are equivalent.

Let μ_4 denote the group generated by a fourth root of unity. Then we have more equivalences:

(c) $q_F \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $\mu_4 \subset F^\times$ and $\lambda_2(\psi)^2 = \lambda_3(\psi)^2 = 1$ are three equivalent conditions.
(d) $q_F \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, $\mu_4 \not\subset F^\times$ and $\lambda_2(\psi)^2 = \lambda_3(\psi)^2 = -1$ are also three equivalent conditions.

This gives us four disjoint cases: We can have (a) and (c) or (a) and (d) or (b) and (c) or (b) and (d).

We can put all these four disjoint cases into the following table:

q_F	$n(\psi)$	$\lambda_{K/F}$	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3
$q_F \equiv 1 \pmod 4$	*odd*	-1	-1	± 1	± 1
$q_F \equiv 1 \pmod 4$	*even*	-1	1	1	-1
$q_F \equiv 3 \pmod 4$	*odd*	1	-1	$\pm i$	$\pm i$
$q_F \equiv 3 \pmod 4$	*even*	1	1	$+i$	$-i$

For the two cases where λ_2, λ_3 have different sign, and we take (up to permutation) λ_2 with positive sign.

5.2. Computation of $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a wildly ramified quadratic extension.

In the case $p = 2$, the square class group of F, i.e., $F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ can be large, so we can have many quadratic characters but they are wildly ramified, not tame. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}_2$, then we have $\mathbb{Q}_2^\times/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $K/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is the abelian extension for which $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(K^\times) = \mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}$, then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *Let K be the finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$ for which $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(K^\times) = \mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}$. Then $\lambda_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2} = 1$.*

Proof. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}_2)$. We know that $\mathbb{Q}_2/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore from class field theory $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. So the 2-rank of G is 3, i.e., $\mathrm{rk}_2(G) = 3$, and hence from the equation 4.7 we have $\Delta_1^G = 1$. Moreover it is easy to see that G is not metacyclic, because $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is not cyclic. So from Theorems 4.1, and 2.5 we have $c_1^G = 1$. Then finally we obtain

$$\lambda_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2} = \lambda_1^G = c_1^G \cdot W(\Delta_1^G) = 1.$$

□

Moreover, from Theorem 2.8, if $F/\mathbb{Q}_2$, we have $|F^\times/F^{\times 2}| = 2^m$, $(m \geqslant 3)$, therefore more generally we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5.12. *Let F be an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. Let K be the abelian extension for which $N_{K/F}(K^\times) = F^{\times 2}$. Then $\lambda_{K/F} = 1$.*

Proof. This proof is same as the above Lemma 5.11. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)}$. From Theorem 2.8 we have $\mathrm{rk}_2(\mathrm{G}) \neq 1$ and G is not metacyclic. Therefore by using Theorems 4.1, and 2.5 we can conclude that $\lambda_{K/F} = \lambda_1^G = 1$. □

Example 5.13 (Computation of $\lambda_{L/\mathbb{Q}_2}$, where $L/\mathbb{Q}_2$ is a quadratic extension). Let $F = \mathbb{Q}_2$. For the principal unit filtration we write $U^i := U^i_{\mathbb{Q}_2}$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_2^\times \supset U^0 = U^1 \supset U^2 \supset U^3,$$

and $U^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}$, therefore we can write

$$\mathbb{Q}_2^\times \supset U^0\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} = U^1\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} \supset U^2\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} \supset U^3\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} = \mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}.$$

Now take modulo $\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}$ we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_2^\times/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} > U^1\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} > U^2\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2} > \{1\},$$

and the index is always 2. So we have

$2 - 1 = 1$ character χ_1 with $a(\chi_1) = 0$, $\chi_1 \neq \chi_0$, the trivial character,
$2^2 - 2 = 2$ characters χ_2, χ_3 with $a(\chi_i) = 2$, $i = 2, 3$,
$2^3 - 2^2 = 4$ characters $\chi_4, \cdots, \chi_7$ with $a(\chi_i) = 3$, $i = 4, \cdots, 7$.

The last case is the **exceptional case** (cf. pp. 21-22) because $p = 2$, $e = 1$ gives $i = \frac{pe}{p-1} = 2$. Here we will have odd conductor. We can simplify as follows:

$$\chi_1,\ \chi_2,\ \chi_3 = \chi_1\chi_2,\ \chi_4,\ \chi_5 = \chi_1\chi_4,\ \chi_6 = \chi_2\chi_4, \text{ and } \chi_7 = \chi_1\chi_2\chi_4.$$

We denote $G = \mathrm{Gal(K/F)} \cong \mathbb{Q}_2^\times/\mathbb{Q}_2^{\times 2}$. Since G is abelian, then $G \cong \widehat{G}$, namely $\widehat{G} = \{1 = \chi_0, \chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots, \chi_7\}$, where $\chi_i^2 = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 7$. So we can write

$$\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}(1)} = 1 \oplus \sum_{\mathrm{i=1}}^{7} \chi_{\mathrm{i}}.$$

Again from Lemma 5.11 we have $\lambda_{K/F} = 1$. Thus we can write

$$\lambda_1^G = \lambda_{K/F} = W(\mathrm{Ind_{K/F}(1)}) = \prod_{\mathrm{i=1}}^{7} \mathrm{W}(\chi_{\mathrm{i}})$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{7} \lambda_i = 1,$$

where $\lambda_i = \lambda_{K_i/F}$ and K_i/F is the corresponding quadratic extension of character χ_i for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 7$. Moreover, there is an unramified quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_2$, namely $K_1/\mathbb{Q}_2$ which corresponds χ_1. Then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{K_1/\mathbb{Q}_2} = (-1)^{n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2})} = 1$, because the conductor $n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = 0$. We also have

$$\lambda_2 = W(\chi_2),\ \lambda_3 = W(\chi_3), \cdots, \lambda_7 = W(\chi_7).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{7}\lambda_i\\
&= W(\chi_1)W(\chi_2)W(\chi_3)W(\chi_4)W(\chi_5)W(\chi_6)W(\chi_7)\\
&= W(\chi_1)W(\chi_2)W(\chi_1\chi_2)W(\chi_4)W(\chi_1\chi_4)W(\chi_2\chi_4)W(\chi_1\chi_2\chi_4)\\
&= (-1)^{n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2})}\cdot W(\chi_2)\cdot\chi_1(p)^2W(\chi_2)\cdot W(\chi_4)\cdot\chi_1(p)^3W(\chi_4)\cdot W(\chi_2\chi_4)\cdot\chi_1(p)^3W(\chi_2\chi_4)\\
&= W(\chi_2)^2\cdot W(\chi_4)^2\cdot W(\chi_2\chi_4)^2\\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

since $n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = 0$ and $\lambda_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2} = 1$.

Now we have to give explicit computation of λ_i, where $i = 1, \cdots, 7$. For this particular example directly we can give explicit computation of λ_i by using the modified formula (2.2) of abelian local constant. Before going to our explicit computation we need to recall few facts. Suppose that χ is a multiplicative character of a non-archimedean local field $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of conductor n. Then we can write

$$W(\chi,\psi_F) = \chi(\pi_F^{n+n(\psi_F)})\cdot q_F^{-\frac{n}{2}}\cdot\sum_{x\in U_F/U_F^n}\chi^{-1}(x)\psi(\frac{x}{\pi_F^{n+n(\psi_F)}}), \tag{5.25}$$

where π_F is a uniformizer of F. By definition we have $\psi_F(x) = e^{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}(x)}$, and any element $x \in U_F/U_F^n$ can be written as

$$x = a_0 + a_1\pi_F + a_2\pi_F^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}\pi_F^{n-1}, \quad \text{where } a_i \in k_F \text{ and } a_0 \neq 0.$$

Then we can consider the following set

$$\{a_0 + a_1\pi_F + a_2\pi_F^2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}\pi_F^{n-1} \mid \quad \text{where } a_i \in k_F \text{ and } a_0 \neq 0\}$$

is a representative of U_F/U_F^n.

When $F = \mathbb{Q}_2$, we have $a(\chi_2) = a(\chi_3) = 2$ and $a(\chi_i) = 3$, $(i = 4, \cdots, 7)$. Therefore we can write

$$U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}/U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^2 = \{1, 1+2\} = \{1, 3\}, \qquad U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}/U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^3 = \{1, 1+2, 1+2^2, 1+2+2^2\} = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}.$$

We also know that any square element x in $\mathbb{Q}_2^\times$ is of the form $x = 4^m(1+8n)$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and n is a 2-adic integer. This tells us $\pm 2, -1$ and ± 5 are not square in $\mathbb{Q}_2^\times$. Again, if we fix $\pi_F = 2$ as a uniformizer, then we can write

$$\mathbb{Q}_2^\times =< 2 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^1 =< 2 > \times < \eta > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^2 =< 2 > \times < -1 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^2,$$

where $\eta^2 = 1$. Then we have the following list of seven quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_2$ (cf. [11], p. 18, Corollary of Theorem 4 and [4], pp. 83-84):

$$\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-5}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{10}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-10}).$$

Now our next job is to see the norm groups of the above quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_2$. For any finite extension K/F, we denote $\mathcal{N}_{K/F} := N_{K/F}(K^\times)$, the norm group of the extension K/F. So we can write:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})/\mathbb{Q}_2} =< 2^2 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2} =< 2^2 > \times < -1 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^2,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{Q}_2} =< 2 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^2,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-5})/\mathbb{Q}_2} =< -2 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^2,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}_2} =< 2 > \times < -1 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^3,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2})/\mathbb{Q}_2} =< 2 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^3,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{10})/\mathbb{Q}_2} =< 2 \times 5 > \times < -1 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^3,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-10})/\mathbb{Q}_2} =< -2 > \times U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^3.$$

From the above norm groups, we can conclude that:

1. the extension $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})$ is unramified, hence it corresponds the character χ_1.
2. the extensions $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-5})$ are two wild quadratic extensions which correspond the characters χ_2, χ_3 respectively.
3. and the extensions $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{10}), \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2})$, and $\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-10})$ correspond the characters χ_4, χ_5, χ_6 and χ_7 respectively.

Now we have all necessary informations for giving explicit formula of λ-functions, and they are:

1. $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{5})/\mathbb{Q}_2} = W(\chi_1, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = (-1)^{n(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2})} = 1.$
2. $$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{Q}_2} &= W(\chi_2, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = \chi_2(2^2) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{x \in U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}/U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^2} \chi_2(x)\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{x}{4}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{1}{4}) + \chi_2(3) \cdot \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{3}{4})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}} - e^{\frac{3\pi i}{2}}\right), \quad \text{since } 3 \notin \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})/\mathbb{Q}_2} \text{ and } \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(x) = e^{2\pi i x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (i + i) = i.\end{aligned}$$
3. $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-5})/\mathbb{Q}_2} = W(\chi_3, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = W(\chi_1\chi_2, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = \chi_1(2^2) \cdot W(\chi_2, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = i.$
4. $$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}_2} &= W(\chi_4, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = \chi_4(2^3) \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sum_{U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}/U_{\mathbb{Q}_2}^3} \chi_4(x)\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{x}{8}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \left(\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{1}{8}) + \chi_4(3) \cdot \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{3}{8}) + \chi_4(5) \cdot \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{5}{8}) + \chi_4(7) \cdot \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(\frac{7}{8})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} - e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}} - e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}} + e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot (2\sqrt{2} + 0 \cdot i) \\ &= 1,\end{aligned}$$

   since $3, 5 \notin \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}_2}$ but $7 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}_2}$.
5. $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{10})/\mathbb{Q}_2} = W(\chi_5, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = W(\chi_1\chi_4, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = \chi_1(2^3) \cdot W(\chi_4, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = (-1) \cdot 1 = -1.$

(6) Again $2, 3 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2})/\mathbb{Q}_2}$ but $5, 7 \notin \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2})/\mathbb{Q}_2}$, so similarly we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-2})/\mathbb{Q}_2} &= W(\chi_6, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2})\\ &= \chi_6(2^3)\cdot\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} + e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}} - e^{\frac{5\pi i}{4}} - e^{\frac{7\pi i}{4}}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\cdot 2\sqrt{2} = i.\end{aligned}$$

(7) $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-10})/\mathbb{Q}_2} = W(\chi_7, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = W(\chi_1\chi_6, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = (-1)\cdot W(\chi_6, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_2}) = -i.$

Remark 5.14. Finally we observe that Theorem 4.10 and Corollary 4.11 are the general results on $\lambda_1^G = \lambda_{E/F}$, where E/F is a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal(E/F)}$. And **the general results leave open** the computation of $W(\alpha)$, where α is a quadratic character of G. For such a quadratic character we can have three cases:

(1) unramified, this is the Theorem 5.4,
(2) tamely ramified, this is the Theorem 5.9,
(3) wildly ramified, its explicit computation is still open.

We also observe from the above example 5.13 that giving explicit formula for $\lambda_{K/F}$, where K/F is a wildly ramified quadratic extension, is very subtle. In particular, when $F = \mathbb{Q}_2$, in the above Example (5.13) we have the explicit computation of $\lambda_{K/\mathbb{Q}_2}$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Prof E.-W. Zink, Humboldt University, Berlin for suggesting this problem and his constant valuable advice and comments. I also thank to my adviser Prof. Rajat Tandon for his continuous encouragement. I express my gratitude to Prof. Dipendra Prasad, TIFR, Bombay, for his valuable comments at the early stage of this project.

References

[1] A. Weil, Basic number theory, Third edition, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[2] B. Kahn, La deuxième classe de Stiefel-Whitney d'une représentation régulière. I and II, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 297 (1983), no. 6, 313-316 and no. 11, 573-576.

[3] C.J. Bushnell, G. Henniart, The local Langlands conjecture for $GL(2)$, Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[4] G. Gras, Class field theory: From theory to practice, Springer-Verlag, 2003.

[5] G. Henniart, Galois ϵ-factors modulo roots of unity, Invent. Math. **78**, 117-126 (1984).

[6] G. Karpilovsky, Group representations, Volume 1, part B: Introduction to group representations and characters, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 175.

[7] H. Koch, Extendible Functions, Centre Interuniversitaire en Calcul; Mathématique Algeébrique, Concordia University.

[8] I.B. Fesenko, S.V. Vostokov, Local fields and their extensions, Second edition 2001.

[9] I.M. Isaacs, Finite group theory, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 92, AMS, 2008.

[10] J-P. Serre, Local field, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[11] —, A course in arithmetic, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 7, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[12] J. Tate, Local Constants, Algebraic Number Fields (L-functions and Galois properties), Proceedings of Symposium, Edited by A. Fröhlich, pp. 89-131.

[13] —, Number theoretic background, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 33 (1979), Vol. **2**, pp. 3-26.

[14] M. Hall, The theory of groups, The Macmillan Company, New York, Fourth Printing, 1963.

[15] P.L. Clark, Wilson's theorem in a finite commutative group: A elementary proof, `http://www.math.uga.edu/~pete/wilson_easy.pdf`.

[16] P. Deligne, Les constantes des équations fonctionnelle des fonctions L, in Modular functions of one variable II, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **349** (1972), 501-597, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.

[17] —, Les constantes locales 1'équations fonctionnelle de la fonction L d'Artin d'une represéentation orthogonale, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 299-316.

[18] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, Finite fields, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications, Cambridge University press 2000.

[19] R.P. Langlands, On the functional equation of the Artin L-functions, unpublished article, `https://publications.ias.edu/sites/default/files/a-ps.pdf`.

[20] S.A. Biswas, Epsilon factors of symplectic type characters, in preparation.

[21] T.Y. Lam, Introduction to quadratic forms over fields, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 67, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2004.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, 500046, India
E-mail address: `sazzad.biswas@uohyd.ac.in, sazzad.jumath@gmail.com`